



## **A Survey of Fayetteville Registered Voters on Conservation Issues**

1501 N. University Ave.  
Suite 769  
Little Rock, AR 72207  
Tel: 501-663-2414  
Fax: 501-663-2494  
oakleafs@aol.com

July 2008

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY .....	3
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	4
MASTER QUESTIONNAIRE .....	12
CROSS-TABULATIONS .....	23

## **INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY**

Opinion Research Associates, Inc., interviewed by telephone 400 registered voters in Fayetteville, Arkansas. Telephone numbers were selected at random from a list of registered voters. Interviews were conducted July 14-22, 2008, from ORA's central telephone facility in Little Rock.

The total sample of 400 cases has a margin of error of  $\pm 5$  percentage points at the 95% level of confidence. This means that 95% of all random samples of this size will produce results that can be expected to vary, as a result of random variation, by no more than 5 percentage points from the true population parameters. Findings are rounded to the nearest percentage point and may not add up 100% owing to rounding error.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- ▶ When asked if they would vote for or against a one mill property tax increase for ten years to fund the purchase of natural areas or conservation easements, 33% of respondents said they would definitely vote for the tax and 27% said they would probably vote for it (60% total support), while 25% said they would definitely vote against it and 9% said they would probably vote against it (34% total opposition); 6% were undecided.
  
- ▶ Voters who belonged to at least one voluntary organization were more likely than others to support the tax increase:

Q1: Vote on Property Tax Increase  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
VOTE:						
Definitely for.....	70	46%	63	25%	133	33%
Probably for	36	24%	72	29%	108	27%
Probably against....	9	6%	25	10%	34	9%
Definitely against....	26	17%	74	30%	100	25%
Don't know..	10	7%	15	6%	25	6%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

- ▶ Residents of Ward 2 were most likely to favor the tax increase:

Q1: Vote on Property Tax Increase  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
VOTE:										
Definitely for.....	33	33%	44	44%	34	34%	22	22%	133	33%
Probably for	19	19%	25	25%	27	27%	37	37%	108	27%
Probably against....	10	10%	7	7%	8	8%	9	9%	34	9%
Definitely against....	32	32%	13	13%	28	28%	27	27%	100	25%
Don't know..	6	6%	11	11%	3	3%	5	5%	25	6%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

- ▶ Remarkably, support for the tax increase was identical among both men and women:

Q1: Vote on Property Tax Increase  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
VOTE:						
Definitely for.....	61	33%	72	33%	133	33%
Probably for	50	27%	58	27%	108	27%
Probably against....	12	7%	22	10%	34	9%
Definitely against....	50	27%	50	23%	100	25%
Don't know..	11	6%	14	6%	25	6%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

- ▶ **Dedicating 5% of the funds toward maintenance made more than 40% of respondents who said they would either probably vote against the tax increase or who were undecided more likely to support the program:**

Q13A1: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Dedicating up to 5% of Funds Toward Maintenance  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	118 89%	85 79%	15 44%	26 26%	10 40%	254 64%
Less likely.	10 8%	6 6%	11 32%	41 41%	3 12%	71 18%
No difference.	2 2%	12 11%	6 18%	29 29%	7 28%	56 14%
Don't know..	3 2%	5 5%	2 6%	4 4%	5 20%	19 5%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

- ▶ **Half of voters (50%) who said they would probably vote against the tax increase and 56% of undecided voters said that their support of the program was more likely if the city council’s approval were required to purchase land or conservation easements:**

Q13A2: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Requiring City Council Approval of Purchases  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	99 74%	72 67%	17 50%	30 30%	14 56%	232 58%
Less likely.	16 12%	20 19%	10 29%	39 39%	5 20%	90 23%
No difference.	12 9%	14 13%	6 18%	28 28%	4 16%	64 16%
Don't know..	6 5%	2 2%	1 3%	3 3%	2 8%	14 4%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

- ▶ Having a five person Conservation Advisory Board to make recommendations for all conservation expenditures would make 59% of those who would probably vote against the measure and 60% of the undecided voters more likely to favor the program:

Q13A3: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Conservation Advisory Board to Recommend Expenditures  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase						TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided		
SUPPORT:							
More likely.	107 80%	69 64%	20 59%	25 25%	15 60%	236 59%	
Less likely.	11 8%	25 23%	10 29%	45 45%	5 20%	96 24%	
No difference.	7 5%	9 8%	3 9%	25 25%	3 12%	47 12%	
Don't know..	8 6%	5 5%	1 3%	5 5%	2 8%	21 5%	
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100	

- ▶ Of five reasons to support public funds for natural area preservation which were read to respondents, the most convincing reason was that protecting open space and natural lands can be important in preserving the quality of life as well as increasing property values. This reason was seen as very convincing by 55% of respondents and somewhat convincing by 28% (83% overall), while 16% said it was not convincing.
- ▶ 80% of respondents found the argument that children and grandchildren deserve a future with a landscape that provides nature education and recreational opportunities either very convincing (54%) or somewhat convincing (26%), with 19% finding it unconvincing.
- ▶ 79% of respondents said the argument that we must save some of our green space now or it will forever be lost to new development is either very convincing (56%) or somewhat convincing (23%), while 20% said it was not convincing.
- ▶ The argument that a 1 mill property tax increase would cost the average homeowner less than \$4.00 a month which is a small price to pay to protect drinking water and provide connected corridors for people and wildlife was convincing to 77% of respondents, very convincing to 51% and somewhat convincing to 26%. 22% said it is not convincing.

- ▶ Finally, 76% said the argument that our landscapes and outdoor recreation opportunities are vital to tourism and help our local economy is convincing, 42% said very convincing and 34% said somewhat convincing. 23% said it is not convincing.
- ▶ When read four arguments against the proposed open space initiative, the highest agreement came on the statement that there are greater priorities such as schools and roads that deserve our money and attention. 64% agreed with the statement, 40% strongly agreed and 24% somewhat agreed. 33% disagreed.
- ▶ Similarly, 63% of respondents agreed that it doesn't make sense to ask for new taxes during bad economic times such as these. 41% strongly agreed and 22% somewhat agreed. 36% disagreed.
- ▶ Respondents were fairly evenly divided on the statement that we should concentrate on maintaining the land we already have instead of buying new land. 51% of respondents agreed either strongly (28%) or somewhat (23%) while 48% disagreed either strongly (26%) or somewhat (22%).
- ▶ The only statement with which a majority of respondents disagreed was that the initiative will take too much land off the tax rolls, resulting in an increase in property taxes. 63% of respondents disagreed with this statement either strongly (39%) or somewhat (24%). 18% agreed.
- ▶ The top potential conservation opportunity chosen from a list of 7 possibilities read to respondents was protecting watersheds. When asked to rate the possibilities on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 meaning not at all important and 5 meaning very important, protecting watersheds was rated 5 by 54% of respondents and 4 by another 22% (76% 4 or 5), and had the highest mean score of 4.221.
- ▶ Protecting wetlands was followed by three possibilities that were closely bunched in ratings: protecting wildlife habitat (mean score, 4.045, and 4-5 importance rating of 69%), promoting and protecting Fayetteville's natural beauty (mean score, 4.015, and 4-5 importance rating of 71%), and conserving farmland (mean score, 4.000, 4-5 importance rating of 68%).

- ▶ These possibilities were followed by promoting economic development (ranked 5<sup>th</sup> with a mean score of 3.730, and 4-5 rating of 60%), containing suburban sprawl (ranked 6<sup>th</sup> with a mean score of 3.675, and 4-5 rating of 52%), and enhancing trails and recreation (ranked 7<sup>th</sup> with a mean score of 3.460, and 4-5 rating of 50%).
- ▶ All seven opportunities scored and ranked as follows:

<b>Opportunity:</b>	<b>Mean score:</b>	<b>4-5 Rating:</b>	<b>Mean Rank:</b>
Protecting watersheds	4.221	76%	1
Protecting wildlife habitat	4.045	69%	2
Promoting and protecting Fayetteville's natural beauty	4.015	71%	3
Conserving farmland	4.000	68%	4
Promoting economic development	3.730	60%	5
Containing suburban sprawl	3.675	52%	6
Enhancing trails and recreation	3.460	50%	7

- ▶ When asked how much in additional taxes they would be willing to pay each year to conserve natural areas and green space in and around Fayetteville, 24% said \$60 more per year, 15% said \$48, 11% said \$35, 12% said \$24, 8% said \$12, and 25% said they would be willing to pay no additional taxes.
- ▶ When asked whether three possible features of a program for conservation of natural areas and green space would make them more likely or less likely to support the program, 64% said they would be more likely to support the program if 5% of the funds were dedicated toward maintenance of the conserved natural areas. 17% said this would make them less likely to support the program and 14% said it would make no difference.
- ▶ 58% said if all expenditures for purchase of land or conservation easements had to be approved by the city council, this would make them more likely to support the program, while 23% said it would make them less likely to support it. 16% said it would make no difference.

- ▶ 59% said if all recommendations for conservation expenditures were made by a five person Conservation Advisory Board, this would make them more likely to support the program, while 24% said it would make them less likely to support is. 12% said it would make no difference.
- ▶ If a conservation program were implemented, 33% of respondents said it should last less than 10 years, 26% said 10 years, and 34% said more than 10 years.
- ▶ The top potential conservation project chosen from a list of 7 possibilities read to respondents was conserving streams or rivers. When asked to rate the possibilities on a scale of 1 to 5 in importance, conserving streams or rivers was rated 5 by 73% of respondents and 4 by another 16% (89% 4 or 5), and had the highest mean score of 4.586.
- ▶ Relatedly, conserving streams and rivers was followed closely by conserving springs, with a mean score of 4.447, and a 4-5 importance rating of 84%.
- ▶ Conserving tree covered hillsides and conserving wildlife habitat were virtually tied with a mean score of 4.187 and 4.186 respectively, and 4-5 importance rating of 74% each). These were followed by conserving prairies (mean score, 3.651, and 4-5 importance rating of 55%), and creating green corridors (mean score, 3.806, 4-5 importance rating of 59%).
- ▶ All seven projects scored and ranked as follows:

<b>Project:</b>	<b>Mean score:</b>	<b>4-5 Rating:</b>	<b>Mean Rank:</b>
Conserving streams or rivers	4.586	89%	1
Conserving springs	4.447	84%	2
Conserving tree covered hillsides	4.187	74%	3
Conserving wildlife habitat	4.186	74%	4
Conserving wetlands	3.985	69%	5
Conserving prairies	3.651	55%	6
Creating green corridors	3.806	59%	7

- ▶ 48% of respondents said they have a favorable opinion of the Fayetteville Natural Heritage Association, 24% very favorable and 24% somewhat favorable, 4% had a mixed opinion, and 7% had an unfavorable opinion. 41% could not rate the Association or were unfamiliar with it.

## **MASTER QUESTIONNAIRE**

## FAYETTEVILLE CONSERVATION ISSUES SURVEY 2008

Hello. My name is \_\_\_\_\_ with Opinion Research Associates in Little Rock. We do not sell or attempt to sell anything – we are a professional research firm. Tonight/Today we are doing a brief survey of people’s opinions on public policy and issues in Fayetteville. In order to make sure that I have a representative sample, may I please speak with a **MALE** registered voter in your household? ***(IF MALE UNAVAILABLE, ASK TO SPEAK WITH ANY OTHER REGISTERED VOTER).***

S1. Are you registered to vote at this address?

- 1. Yes ***(CONTINUE)*** 100%
- No ***(TERMINATE)***

1. There may be a proposal on the ballot to raise the property tax in Fayetteville in order to purchase land for preservation of natural areas and green space in the city. Eminent domain would not be used. The land to be purchased would be recommended by a Conservation Advisory Board. All of the areas acquired and preserved would be available for passive recreation which includes walking, jogging and nature study.

The specific ballot proposal would raise the property tax by one mill for ten years, which would amount to \$20 per year per one hundred thousand dollars of appraised value, to fund the purchase of natural areas or conservation easements. If an election were held today, would you vote for or against this property tax increase to preserve natural areas? Would you ...

	%
1. Definitely vote for the millage increase	33
2. Probably vote for it	27
3. Probably vote against it	9
4. Definitely vote against it	25
<i>(DNR)</i> 9. Don’t know/No response	6

Now I am going to read some reasons people have given in support of public funds for natural area preservation. As I read each one, please tell me whether you think it is a very convincing reason, somewhat convincing reason, or not a convincing reason to support public funds for preservation.

- |                                |
|--------------------------------|
| 1. Very convincing reason      |
| 2. Somewhat convincing reason  |
| 3. Not a convincing reason     |
| (DNR)9. Don't know/No response |

2. Children and grandchildren deserve a future with a landscape that provides nature education and recreational opportunities. Is this a...

	%
1. Very convincing reason	54
2. Somewhat convincing reason	26
3. Not a convincing reason	19
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	2

3. If we don't save some of our green space now, it will forever be lost to new development. Is this a...

	%
1. Very convincing reason	56
2. Somewhat convincing reason	23
3. Not a convincing reason	20
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	1

4. Less than \$4.00 a month, which is what a 1 mill property tax increase would cost the average homeowner, is a small price to pay to protect drinking water, and provide connected corridors for people and wildlife. Is this a...

	%
1. Very convincing reason	51
2. Somewhat convincing reason	26
3. Not a convincing reason	22
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	2

5. Our landscapes and outdoor recreation opportunities are vital to tourism and help our local economy. Is this a...

	%
1. Very convincing reason	42
2. Somewhat convincing reason	34
3. Not a convincing reason	23
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	2

6. Protecting open space and natural lands can play a very important role in preserving the quality of life as well as increase property values in our area. Is this a...

	%
1. Very convincing reason	55
2. Somewhat convincing reason	28
3. Not a convincing reason	16
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	2

I'm going to read you some arguments some people have given against a proposed open space initiative. As I read each one, please tell me whether you agree or disagree with the statement. Just tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree. Here's the first one:

1. Strongly agree
2. Somewhat agree
3. Somewhat disagree
4. Strongly disagree
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response

7. Too much land will be taken off the tax rolls, resulting in an increase in property taxes.

	%
1. Strongly agree	11
2. Somewhat agree	17
3. Somewhat disagree	24
4. Strongly disagree	39
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	10

8. We should concentrate on maintaining the land we already have instead of buying new land.

	%
1. Strongly agree	28
2. Somewhat agree	23
3. Somewhat disagree	22
4. Strongly disagree	26
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	2

9. It doesn't make sense to ask for new taxes during bad economic times such as these.

	%
1. Strongly agree	41
2. Somewhat agree	22
3. Somewhat disagree	17
4. Strongly disagree	19
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	2

10. There are greater priorities such as schools and roads that deserve our money and attention.

	%
1. Strongly agree	40
2. Somewhat agree	24
3. Somewhat disagree	17
4. Strongly disagree	16
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	3

11. I am going to read a list of potential conservation opportunities, please rate each one in terms of how important it would be to you as a conservation objective. Rate them on a scale from 1 to 5, where 1 represents not at all important, and 5 represents very important, with the other numbers falling in between in importance.

**1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5**  
**Not at all important** **Very important**  
**(DNR) 9 Don't know/no response**

How do you rate the importance of...

11A1. Containing suburban sprawl

	%		
1. Not at all important	7		
2. 2 rating	8		
3. 3 rating	29		
4. 4 rating	18		
5. Very important	34		
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	6	Mean = 3.675	Rank: 6

11A2. Protecting watersheds			
	%		
1. Not at all important	3		
2. 2 rating	3		
3. 3 rating	16		
4. 4 rating	22		
5. Very important	54		
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	2	Mean = 4.221	Rank: 1

11A3. Protecting wildlife habitat			
	%		
1. Not at all important	4		
2. 2 rating	7		
3. 3 rating	20		
4. 4 rating	20		
5. Very important	49		
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	0	Mean = 4.045	Rank: 2

11A4. Enhancing trails and recreation			
	%		
1. Not at all important	10		
2. 2 rating	11		
3. 3 rating	28		
4. 4 rating	23		
5. Very important	27		
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	1	Mean = 3.460	Rank: 7

11A5. Promoting and protecting Fayetteville's natural beauty			
	%		
1. Not at all important	5		
2. 2 rating	6		
3. 3 rating	19		
4. 4 rating	24		
5. Very important	47		
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	1	Mean = 4.015	Rank: 3

11A6. Promoting economic development			
	%		
1. Not at all important	8		
2. 2 rating	8		
3. 3 rating	23		
4. 4 rating	24		
5. Very important	36		
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	1	Mean = 3.730	Rank: 5

11A7. Conserving farmland

	%		
1. Not at all important	4		
2. 2 rating	7		
3. 3 rating	22		
4. 4 rating	21		
5. Very important	47		
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	1	Mean = 4.000	Rank: 4

12. How much in additional taxes would you be willing to pay each year to conserve natural areas and green space in and around Fayetteville?

***[STOP WHEN RESPONDENT SAYS YES TO AN AMOUNT]***

	%
1. \$60 more per year	24
2. \$48 more per year	15
3. \$35 more per year	11
4. \$24 more per year	12
5. \$12 more per year	8
6. None	25
(DNR)9. Don't know/no response	6

13. I'm going to read you a list of possible features for a program for conservation of natural areas and green space. As I read each feature, please tell me whether incorporating that feature would make you more likely to support the program, or less likely to support it.

1. More likely to support the program
2. Less likely to support the program
(DNR)3. Would make no difference
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response

13A1. Dedicating up to 5% of the funds toward maintenance of the conserved natural areas. (Would this make you...)

	%
1. More likely to support the program	64
2. Less likely to support the program	17
(DNR)3. Would make no difference	14
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	5

13A2. All expenditures for purchase of land or conservation easements would have to be approved by the city council. (Would this make you...)

	%
1. More likely to support the program	58
2. Less likely to support the program	23
(DNR)3. Would make no difference	16
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	4

13A3. All recommendations for conservation expenditures would be made by a five person Conservation Advisory Board. (Would this make you...)

	%
1. More likely to support the program	59
2. Less likely to support the program	24
(DNR)3. Would make no difference	12
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	5

14. If a program for conservation of natural areas and green space were implemented, would you prefer that the duration of the program be

	%
1. Less than 10 years	33
2. 10 years	26
3. More than 10 years	34
(DNR)9. Don't know/no response	8

15. The proposed natural area conservation program could include a variety of projects. Please tell me how important you think conserving the following natural areas is on a scale from 1 to 5, where 1 represents not at all important and 5 represents very important, with the other numbers falling in between in importance.

**1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5**  
**Not at all important** **Very important**  
**(DNR) 9 Don't know/no response**

How do you rate the importance of...

15A1. Conserving tree covered hillsides

	%		
1. Not at all important	4		
2. 2 rating	5		
3. 3 rating	16		
4. 4 rating	20		
5. Very important	54		
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	1	Mean = 4.187	Rank: 3

15A2. Conserving streams or rivers

	%		
1. Not at all important	2		
2. 2 rating	1		
3. 3 rating	7		
4. 4 rating	16		
5. Very important	73		
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	1	Mean = 4.586	Rank: 1

15A3. Conserving prairies

	%		
1. Not at all important	7		
2. 2 rating	11		
3. 3 rating	27		
4. 4 rating	21		
5. Very important	34		
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	2	Mean = 3.651	Rank: 6

15A4. Conserving springs

	%		
1. Not at all important	3		
2. 2 rating	2		
3. 3 rating	11		
4. 4 rating	17		
5. Very important	67		
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	1	Mean = 4.447	Rank: 2

15A5. Conserving wetlands

	%		
1. Not at all important	4		
2. 2 rating	8		
3. 3 rating	18		
4. 4 rating	24		
5. Very important	45		
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	2	Mean = 3.985	Rank: 5

15A6. Conserving wildlife habitat

	%		
1. Not at all important	5		
2. 2 rating	5		
3. 3 rating	15		
4. 4 rating	16		
5. Very important	58		
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	1	Mean = 4.186	Rank: 4

15A7. Creating green corridors

%

1. Not at all important	7		
2. 2 rating	6		
3. 3 rating	21		
4. 4 rating	24		
5. Very important	35		
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	7	Mean = 3.806	Rank: 7

16. What is your opinion of the Fayetteville Natural Heritage Association?

	%
1. Very favorable	24
2. Somewhat favorable	24
(DNR)3. Mixed	4
4. Somewhat unfavorable	4
5. Very unfavorable	3
(DNR)9. Don't know/no response	41

**Now we need just a few quick facts for purposes of analysis.**

D1. What clubs or organizations do you belong to?

**CODE DAI - DA5:**

- |                           |
|---------------------------|
| 1. Member                 |
| 2. Not member/No response |

	% Member
D1A1. League of Women Voters	3
D1A2. Chamber of Commerce	7
D1A3. Conservation groups like The Sierra Club, Nature Conservancy, Audubon Society, Ozark Highlands, and so forth	17
D1A4. Other recreation organizations like the Bicycle Coalition, canoe clubs, and running clubs	9
D1A5. Civic or service organizations such as Rotary, Lions, or Altrusa	17
D1A1 - D1A5. Member of at least one organization	38

**D2. RECORD WARD (1, 2, 3, or 4)**

	N	%
First	100	25
Second	100	25
Third	100	25
Fourth	100	25

**THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR TIME, AND GOOD NIGHT**

***(OBSERVE AND CLASSIFY:)***

**D3. Sex**

	%
1. Male	46
2. Female	54

## **CROSS-TABULATIONS**

Q1: Vote on Property Tax Increase  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
VOTE:						
Definitely for.....	8	62%	125	32%	133	33%
Probably for	1	8%	107	28%	108	27%
Probably against....	1	8%	33	9%	34	9%
Definitely against....	0	0%	100	26%	100	25%
Don't know..	3	23%	22	6%	25	6%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q1: Vote on Property Tax Increase  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
VOTE:						
Definitely for.....	13	50%	120	32%	133	33%
Probably for	5	19%	103	28%	108	27%
Probably against....	2	8%	32	9%	34	9%
Definitely against....	5	19%	95	25%	100	25%
Don't know..	1	4%	24	6%	25	6%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q1: Vote on Property Tax Increase  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
VOTE:				
Definitely for.....	37 56%	96 29%	133	33%
Probably for	16 24%	92 28%	108	27%
Probably against....	3 5%	31 9%	34	9%
Definitely against....	8 12%	92 28%	100	25%
Don't know..	2 3%	23 7%	25	6%
TOTAL.....	66 100%	334 100%	400	100%

Q1: Vote on Property Tax Increase  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
VOTE:				
Definitely for.....	24 69%	109 30%	133	33%
Probably for	5 14%	103 28%	108	27%
Probably against....	0 0%	34 9%	34	9%
Definitely against....	5 14%	95 26%	100	25%
Don't know..	1 3%	24 7%	25	6%
TOTAL.....	35 100%	365 100%	400	100%

Q1: Vote on Property Tax Increase  
 By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
VOTE:						
Definitely for.....	25	38%	108	32%	133	33%
Probably for	17	26%	91	27%	108	27%
Probably against....	7	11%	27	8%	34	9%
Definitely against....	13	20%	87	26%	100	25%
Don't know..	4	6%	21	6%	25	6%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q1: Vote on Property Tax Increase  
 By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
VOTE:						
Definitely for.....	70	46%	63	25%	133	33%
Probably for	36	24%	72	29%	108	27%
Probably against....	9	6%	25	10%	34	9%
Definitely against....	26	17%	74	30%	100	25%
Don't know..	10	7%	15	6%	25	6%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q1: Vote on Property Tax Increase  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
VOTE:										
Definitely for.....	33	33%	44	44%	34	34%	22	22%	133	33%
Probably for	19	19%	25	25%	27	27%	37	37%	108	27%
Probably against....	10	10%	7	7%	8	8%	9	9%	34	9%
Definitely against....	32	32%	13	13%	28	28%	27	27%	100	25%
Don't know..	6	6%	11	11%	3	3%	5	5%	25	6%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q1: Vote on Property Tax Increase  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
VOTE:						
Definitely for.....	61	33%	72	33%	133	33%
Probably for	50	27%	58	27%	108	27%
Probably against....	12	7%	22	10%	34	9%
Definitely against....	50	27%	50	23%	100	25%
Don't know..	11	6%	14	6%	25	6%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q2: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Children and Grandchildren Deserve Future Nature Opportunities  
 By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	12	92%	202	52%	214	54%
Somewhat convincing.	0	0%	105	27%	105	26%
Not convincing.	1	8%	73	19%	74	19%
Don't know..	0	0%	7	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q2: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Children and Grandchildren Deserve Future Nature Opportunities  
 By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	17	65%	197	53%	214	54%
Somewhat convincing.	6	23%	99	26%	105	26%
Not convincing.	3	12%	71	19%	74	19%
Don't know..	0	0%	7	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q2: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Children and Grandchildren Deserve Future Nature Opportunities  
 By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	49	74%	165	49%	214	54%
Somewhat convincing.	10	15%	95	28%	105	26%
Not convincing.	6	9%	68	20%	74	19%
Don't know..	1	2%	6	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q2: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Children and Grandchildren Deserve Future Nature Opportunities  
 By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	29	83%	185	51%	214	54%
Somewhat convincing.	2	6%	103	28%	105	26%
Not convincing.	4	11%	70	19%	74	19%
Don't know..	0	0%	7	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q2: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Children and Grandchildren Deserve Future Nature Opportunities  
 By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	32	48%	182	54%	214	54%
Somewhat convincing.	23	35%	82	25%	105	26%
Not convincing.	11	17%	63	19%	74	19%
Don't know..	0	0%	7	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q2: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Children and Grandchildren Deserve Future Nature Opportunities  
 By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	97	64%	117	47%	214	54%
Somewhat convincing.	34	23%	71	29%	105	26%
Not convincing.	19	13%	55	22%	74	19%
Don't know..	1	1%	6	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q2: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Children and Grandchildren Deserve Future Nature Opportunities  
 By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
HOW CONVINCING:										
Very convincing.	51	51%	63	63%	47	47%	53	53%	214	54%
Somewhat convincing.	28	28%	23	23%	27	27%	27	27%	105	26%
Not convincing.	16	16%	13	13%	26	26%	19	19%	74	19%
Don't know..	5	5%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q2: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Children and Grandchildren Deserve Future Nature Opportunities  
 By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	86	47%	128	59%	214	54%
Somewhat convincing.	54	29%	51	24%	105	26%
Not convincing.	42	23%	32	15%	74	19%
Don't know..	2	1%	5	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q2: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Children and Grandchildren Deserve Future Nature Opportunities  
 By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	107 80%	59 55%	9 26%	24 24%	15 60%	214 54%
Somewhat convincing.	19 14%	44 41%	12 35%	21 21%	9 36%	105 26%
Not convincing.	6 5%	4 4%	13 38%	50 50%	1 4%	74 19%
Don't know..	1 1%	1 1%	0 0%	5 5%	0 0%	7 2%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q3: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 If Not Saved Now, Green Space Will Be Lost to New Development  
 By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	12	92%	212	55%	224	56%
Somewhat convincing.	0	0%	92	24%	92	23%
Not convincing.	1	8%	78	20%	79	20%
Don't know..	0	0%	5	1%	5	1%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q3: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 If Not Saved Now, Green Space Will Be Lost to New Development  
 By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	17	65%	207	55%	224	56%
Somewhat convincing.	6	23%	86	23%	92	23%
Not convincing.	3	12%	76	20%	79	20%
Don't know..	0	0%	5	1%	5	1%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q3: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 If Not Saved Now, Green Space Will Be Lost to New Development  
 By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	51	77%	173	52%	224	56%
Somewhat convincing.	10	15%	82	25%	92	23%
Not convincing.	5	8%	74	22%	79	20%
Don't know..	0	0%	5	1%	5	1%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q3: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 If Not Saved Now, Green Space Will Be Lost to New Development  
 By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	24	69%	200	55%	224	56%
Somewhat convincing.	7	20%	85	23%	92	23%
Not convincing.	3	9%	76	21%	79	20%
Don't know..	1	3%	4	1%	5	1%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q3: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 If Not Saved Now, Green Space Will Be Lost to New Development  
 By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	37	56%	187	56%	224	56%
Somewhat convincing.	13	20%	79	24%	92	23%
Not convincing.	15	23%	64	19%	79	20%
Don't know..	1	2%	4	1%	5	1%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q3: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 If Not Saved Now, Green Space Will Be Lost to New Development  
 By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	100	66%	124	50%	224	56%
Somewhat convincing.	27	18%	65	26%	92	23%
Not convincing.	22	15%	57	23%	79	20%
Don't know..	2	1%	3	1%	5	1%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q3: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 If Not Saved Now, Green Space Will Be Lost to New Development  
 By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
HOW CONVINCING:										
Very convincing.	54	54%	69	69%	50	50%	51	51%	224	56%
Somewhat convincing.	27	27%	18	18%	21	21%	26	26%	92	23%
Not convincing.	17	17%	12	12%	29	29%	21	21%	79	20%
Don't know..	2	2%	1	1%	0	0%	2	2%	5	1%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q3: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 If Not Saved Now, Green Space Will Be Lost to New Development  
 By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	88	48%	136	63%	224	56%
Somewhat convincing.	45	24%	47	22%	92	23%
Not convincing.	48	26%	31	14%	79	20%
Don't know..	3	2%	2	1%	5	1%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q3: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 If Not Saved Now, Green Space Will Be Lost to New Development  
 By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
HOW						
CONVINCING:						
Very						
convincing.	112 84%	67 62%	7 21%	23 23%	15 60%	224 56%
Somewhat						
convincing.	14 11%	36 33%	17 50%	18 18%	7 28%	92 23%
Not						
convincing.	6 5%	5 5%	9 26%	57 57%	2 8%	79 20%
Don't know..	1 1%	0 0%	1 3%	2 2%	1 4%	5 1%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q4: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 \$4.00/Month Small Price to Pay to Protect Water and Corridors  
 By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	11	85%	194	50%	205	51%
Somewhat convincing.	2	15%	100	26%	102	26%
Not convincing.	0	0%	87	22%	87	22%
Don't know..	0	0%	6	2%	6	2%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q4: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 \$4.00/Month Small Price to Pay to Protect Water and Corridors  
 By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	14	54%	191	51%	205	51%
Somewhat convincing.	7	27%	95	25%	102	26%
Not convincing.	4	15%	83	22%	87	22%
Don't know..	1	4%	5	1%	6	2%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q4: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 \$4.00/Month Small Price to Pay to Protect Water and Corridors  
 By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	42	64%	163	49%	205	51%
Somewhat convincing.	14	21%	88	26%	102	26%
Not convincing.	10	15%	77	23%	87	22%
Don't know..	0	0%	6	2%	6	2%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q4: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 \$4.00/Month Small Price to Pay to Protect Water and Corridors  
 By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	27	77%	178	49%	205	51%
Somewhat convincing.	3	9%	99	27%	102	26%
Not convincing.	5	14%	82	22%	87	22%
Don't know..	0	0%	6	2%	6	2%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q4: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 \$4.00/Month Small Price to Pay to Protect Water and Corridors  
 By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	32	48%	173	52%	205	51%
Somewhat convincing.	15	23%	87	26%	102	26%
Not convincing.	18	27%	69	21%	87	22%
Don't know..	1	2%	5	1%	6	2%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q4: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 \$4.00/Month Small Price to Pay to Protect Water and Corridors  
 By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	87	58%	118	47%	205	51%
Somewhat convincing.	33	22%	69	28%	102	26%
Not convincing.	29	19%	58	23%	87	22%
Don't know..	2	1%	4	2%	6	2%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q4: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 \$4.00/Month Small Price to Pay to Protect Water and Corridors  
 By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
HOW CONVINCING:										
Very convincing.	48	48%	60	60%	48	48%	49	49%	205	51%
Somewhat convincing.	27	27%	23	23%	27	27%	25	25%	102	26%
Not convincing.	24	24%	16	16%	25	25%	22	22%	87	22%
Don't know..	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	4	4%	6	2%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q4: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 \$4.00/Month Small Price to Pay to Protect Water and Corridors  
 By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	85	46%	120	56%	205	51%
Somewhat convincing.	46	25%	56	26%	102	26%
Not convincing.	49	27%	38	18%	87	22%
Don't know..	4	2%	2	1%	6	2%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q4: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 \$4.00/Month Small Price to Pay to Protect Water and Corridors  
 By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
HOW						
CONVINCING:						
Very						
convincing.	107 80%	61 56%	8 24%	14 14%	15 60%	205 51%
Somewhat						
convincing.	17 13%	39 36%	14 41%	24 24%	8 32%	102 26%
Not						
convincing.	7 5%	8 7%	10 29%	60 60%	2 8%	87 22%
Don't know..	2 2%	0 0%	2 6%	2 2%	0 0%	6 2%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q5: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Landscapes and Recreation are Vital to Tourism and Help Economy  
 By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	8	62%	158	41%	166	42%
Somewhat convincing.	3	23%	131	34%	134	34%
Not convincing.	2	15%	91	24%	93	23%
Don't know..	0	0%	7	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q5: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Landscapes and Recreation are Vital to Tourism and Help Economy  
 By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	16	62%	150	40%	166	42%
Somewhat convincing.	6	23%	128	34%	134	34%
Not convincing.	4	15%	89	24%	93	23%
Don't know..	0	0%	7	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q5: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Landscapes and Recreation are Vital to Tourism and Help Economy  
 By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	34	52%	132	40%	166	42%
Somewhat convincing.	23	35%	111	33%	134	34%
Not convincing.	9	14%	84	25%	93	23%
Don't know..	0	0%	7	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q5: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Landscapes and Recreation are Vital to Tourism and Help Economy  
 By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	24	69%	142	39%	166	42%
Somewhat convincing.	8	23%	126	35%	134	34%
Not convincing.	3	9%	90	25%	93	23%
Don't know..	0	0%	7	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q5: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Landscapes and Recreation are Vital to Tourism and Help Economy  
 By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	28	42%	138	41%	166	42%
Somewhat convincing.	24	36%	110	33%	134	34%
Not convincing.	14	21%	79	24%	93	23%
Don't know..	0	0%	7	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q5: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Landscapes and Recreation are Vital to Tourism and Help Economy  
 By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	72	48%	94	38%	166	42%
Somewhat convincing.	53	35%	81	33%	134	34%
Not convincing.	26	17%	67	27%	93	23%
Don't know..	0	0%	7	3%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q5: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Landscapes and Recreation are Vital to Tourism and Help Economy  
 By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
HOW CONVINCING:										
Very convincing.	37	37%	43	43%	40	40%	46	46%	166	42%
Somewhat convincing.	31	31%	39	39%	31	31%	33	33%	134	34%
Not convincing.	28	28%	16	16%	29	29%	20	20%	93	23%
Don't know..	4	4%	2	2%	0	0%	1	1%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q5: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Landscapes and Recreation are Vital to Tourism and Help Economy  
 By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	82	45%	84	39%	166	42%
Somewhat convincing.	50	27%	84	39%	134	34%
Not convincing.	48	26%	45	21%	93	23%
Don't know..	4	2%	3	1%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q5: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
Landscapes and Recreation are Vital to Tourism and Help Economy  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
HOW						
CONVINCING:						
Very						
convincing.	82 62%	47 44%	7 21%	17 17%	13 52%	166 42%
Somewhat						
convincing.	37 28%	54 50%	16 47%	20 20%	7 28%	134 34%
Not						
convincing.	13 10%	6 6%	10 29%	59 59%	5 20%	93 23%
Don't know..	1 1%	1 1%	1 3%	4 4%	0 0%	7 2%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q6: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Preserving Quality of Life and Increasing Property Values  
 By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	10	77%	210	54%	220	55%
Somewhat convincing.	3	23%	108	28%	111	28%
Not convincing.	0	0%	62	16%	62	16%
Don't know..	0	0%	7	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q6: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Preserving Quality of Life and Increasing Property Values  
 By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	15	58%	205	55%	220	55%
Somewhat convincing.	8	31%	103	28%	111	28%
Not convincing.	3	12%	59	16%	62	16%
Don't know..	0	0%	7	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q6: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Preserving Quality of Life and Increasing Property Values  
 By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	45	68%	175	52%	220	55%
Somewhat convincing.	18	27%	93	28%	111	28%
Not convincing.	3	5%	59	18%	62	16%
Don't know..	0	0%	7	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q6: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Preserving Quality of Life and Increasing Property Values  
 By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	24	69%	196	54%	220	55%
Somewhat convincing.	10	29%	101	28%	111	28%
Not convincing.	1	3%	61	17%	62	16%
Don't know..	0	0%	7	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q6: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Preserving Quality of Life and Increasing Property Values  
 By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	38	58%	182	54%	220	55%
Somewhat convincing.	22	33%	89	27%	111	28%
Not convincing.	5	8%	57	17%	62	16%
Don't know..	1	2%	6	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q6: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Preserving Quality of Life and Increasing Property Values  
 By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	93	62%	127	51%	220	55%
Somewhat convincing.	46	30%	65	26%	111	28%
Not convincing.	11	7%	51	20%	62	16%
Don't know..	1	1%	6	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q6: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Preserving Quality of Life and Increasing Property Values  
 By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
HOW CONVINCING:										
Very convincing.	47	47%	60	60%	55	55%	58	58%	220	55%
Somewhat convincing.	29	29%	31	31%	29	29%	22	22%	111	28%
Not convincing.	19	19%	7	7%	16	16%	20	20%	62	16%
Don't know..	5	5%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q6: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Preserving Quality of Life and Increasing Property Values  
 By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	97	53%	123	57%	220	55%
Somewhat convincing.	48	26%	63	29%	111	28%
Not convincing.	35	19%	27	13%	62	16%
Don't know..	4	2%	3	1%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q6: Reason to Support Public Funds for Conservation:  
 Preserving Quality of Life and Increasing Property Values  
 By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
HOW CONVINCING:						
Very convincing.	105 79%	69 64%	7 21%	25 25%	14 56%	220 55%
Somewhat convincing.	23 17%	37 34%	19 56%	24 24%	8 32%	111 28%
Not convincing.	4 3%	2 2%	6 18%	48 48%	2 8%	62 16%
Don't know...	1 1%	0 0%	2 6%	3 3%	1 4%	7 2%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q7: Will Remove too Much Land From Tax Rolls and Increase Taxes  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	0	0%	43	11%	43	11%
Somewhat agree.....	2	15%	66	17%	68	17%
Somewhat disagree...	2	15%	94	24%	96	24%
Strongly disagree...	9	69%	145	37%	154	39%
Don't know...	0	0%	39	10%	39	10%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q7: Will Remove too Much Land From Tax Rolls and Increase Taxes  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	3	12%	40	11%	43	11%
Somewhat agree.....	3	12%	65	17%	68	17%
Somewhat disagree...	8	31%	88	24%	96	24%
Strongly disagree...	10	38%	144	39%	154	39%
Don't know...	2	8%	37	10%	39	10%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q7: Will Remove too Much Land From Tax Rolls and Increase Taxes  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	1	2%	42	13%	43	11%
Somewhat agree.....	4	6%	64	19%	68	17%
Somewhat disagree...	18	27%	78	23%	96	24%
Strongly disagree...	39	59%	115	34%	154	39%
Don't know..	4	6%	35	10%	39	10%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q7: Will Remove too Much Land From Tax Rolls and Increase Taxes  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	1	3%	42	12%	43	11%
Somewhat agree.....	5	14%	63	17%	68	17%
Somewhat disagree...	9	26%	87	24%	96	24%
Strongly disagree...	18	51%	136	37%	154	39%
Don't know..	2	6%	37	10%	39	10%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q7: Will Remove too Much Land From Tax Rolls and Increase Taxes  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	9	14%	34	10%	43	11%
Somewhat agree.....	12	18%	56	17%	68	17%
Somewhat disagree...	15	23%	81	24%	96	24%
Strongly disagree...	25	38%	129	39%	154	39%
Don't know..	5	8%	34	10%	39	10%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q7: Will Remove too Much Land From Tax Rolls and Increase Taxes  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	12	8%	31	12%	43	11%
Somewhat agree.....	21	14%	47	19%	68	17%
Somewhat disagree...	42	28%	54	22%	96	24%
Strongly disagree...	67	44%	87	35%	154	39%
Don't know..	9	6%	30	12%	39	10%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q7: Will Remove too Much Land From Tax Rolls and Increase Taxes  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	19	19%	7	7%	5	5%	12	12%	43	11%
Somewhat agree.....	18	18%	16	16%	16	16%	18	18%	68	17%
Somewhat disagree...	20	20%	25	25%	23	23%	28	28%	96	24%
Strongly disagree...	34	34%	43	43%	42	42%	35	35%	154	39%
Don't know...	9	9%	9	9%	14	14%	7	7%	39	10%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q7: Will Remove too Much Land From Tax Rolls and Increase Taxes  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	26	14%	17	8%	43	11%
Somewhat agree.....	36	20%	32	15%	68	17%
Somewhat disagree...	45	24%	51	24%	96	24%
Strongly disagree...	68	37%	86	40%	154	39%
Don't know...	9	5%	30	14%	39	10%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q7: Will Remove too Much Land From Tax Rolls and Increase Taxes  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	2 2%	6 6%	3 9%	31 31%	1 4%	43 11%
Somewhat agree.....	7 5%	29 27%	9 26%	17 17%	6 24%	68 17%
Somewhat disagree...	32 24%	43 40%	9 26%	7 7%	5 20%	96 24%
Strongly disagree...	84 63%	23 21%	7 21%	31 31%	9 36%	154 39%
Don't know...	8 6%	7 6%	6 18%	14 14%	4 16%	39 10%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q8: Should Maintain Land we Have Instead of Buying New Land  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	3	23%	109	28%	112	28%
Somewhat agree.....	1	8%	89	23%	90	23%
Somewhat disagree...	1	8%	85	22%	86	22%
Strongly disagree...	8	62%	95	25%	103	26%
Don't know...	0	0%	9	2%	9	2%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q8: Should Maintain Land we Have Instead of Buying New Land  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	6	23%	106	28%	112	28%
Somewhat agree.....	8	31%	82	22%	90	23%
Somewhat disagree...	3	12%	83	22%	86	22%
Strongly disagree...	9	35%	94	25%	103	26%
Don't know...	0	0%	9	2%	9	2%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q8: Should Maintain Land we Have Instead of Buying New Land  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	7	11%	105	31%	112	28%
Somewhat agree.....	10	15%	80	24%	90	23%
Somewhat disagree...	21	32%	65	19%	86	22%
Strongly disagree...	28	42%	75	22%	103	26%
Don't know...	0	0%	9	3%	9	2%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q8: Should Maintain Land we Have Instead of Buying New Land  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	6	17%	106	29%	112	28%
Somewhat agree.....	7	20%	83	23%	90	23%
Somewhat disagree...	6	17%	80	22%	86	22%
Strongly disagree...	15	43%	88	24%	103	26%
Don't know...	1	3%	8	2%	9	2%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q8: Should Maintain Land we Have Instead of Buying New Land  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	16 24%	96 29%	112	28%
Somewhat agree.....	16 24%	74 22%	90	23%
Somewhat disagree...	14 21%	72 22%	86	22%
Strongly disagree...	19 29%	84 25%	103	26%
Don't know...	1 2%	8 2%	9	2%
TOTAL.....	66 100%	334 100%	400	100%

Q8: Should Maintain Land we Have Instead of Buying New Land  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	30 20%	82 33%	112	28%
Somewhat agree.....	32 21%	58 23%	90	23%
Somewhat disagree...	35 23%	51 20%	86	22%
Strongly disagree...	52 34%	51 20%	103	26%
Don't know...	2 1%	7 3%	9	2%
TOTAL.....	151 100%	249 100%	400	100%

Q8: Should Maintain Land we Have Instead of Buying New Land  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	37	37%	23	23%	24	24%	28	28%	112	28%
Somewhat agree.....	18	18%	23	23%	25	25%	24	24%	90	23%
Somewhat disagree...	15	15%	20	20%	22	22%	29	29%	86	22%
Strongly disagree...	27	27%	33	33%	27	27%	16	16%	103	26%
Don't know...	3	3%	1	1%	2	2%	3	3%	9	2%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q8: Should Maintain Land we Have Instead of Buying New Land  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	55	30%	57	26%	112	28%
Somewhat agree.....	41	22%	49	23%	90	23%
Somewhat disagree...	42	23%	44	20%	86	22%
Strongly disagree...	45	24%	58	27%	103	26%
Don't know...	1	1%	8	4%	9	2%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q8: Should Maintain Land we Have Instead of Buying New Land  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	9 7%	10 9%	13 38%	74 74%	6 24%	112 28%
Somewhat agree.....	19 14%	34 31%	17 50%	11 11%	9 36%	90 23%
Somewhat disagree...	31 23%	46 43%	4 12%	1 1%	4 16%	86 22%
Strongly disagree...	71 53%	17 16%	0 0%	10 10%	5 20%	103 26%
Don't know...	3 2%	1 1%	0 0%	4 4%	1 4%	9 2%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q9: Makes no Sense to Ask for New Taxes With Bad Economy  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	4	31%	160	41%	164	41%
Somewhat agree.....	2	15%	87	22%	89	22%
Somewhat disagree...	1	8%	65	17%	66	17%
Strongly disagree...	6	46%	68	18%	74	19%
Don't know...	0	0%	7	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q9: Makes no Sense to Ask for New Taxes With Bad Economy  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	7	27%	157	42%	164	41%
Somewhat agree.....	5	19%	84	22%	89	22%
Somewhat disagree...	5	19%	61	16%	66	17%
Strongly disagree...	9	35%	65	17%	74	19%
Don't know...	0	0%	7	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q9: Makes no Sense to Ask for New Taxes With Bad Economy  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	14	21%	150	45%	164	41%
Somewhat agree.....	14	21%	75	22%	89	22%
Somewhat disagree...	16	24%	50	15%	66	17%
Strongly disagree...	21	32%	53	16%	74	19%
Don't know...	1	2%	6	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q9: Makes no Sense to Ask for New Taxes With Bad Economy  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	10	29%	154	42%	164	41%
Somewhat agree.....	4	11%	85	23%	89	22%
Somewhat disagree...	8	23%	58	16%	66	17%
Strongly disagree...	13	37%	61	17%	74	19%
Don't know...	0	0%	7	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q9: Makes no Sense to Ask for New Taxes With Bad Economy  
 By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	20	30%	144	43%	164	41%
Somewhat agree.....	18	27%	71	21%	89	22%
Somewhat disagree...	13	20%	53	16%	66	17%
Strongly disagree...	15	23%	59	18%	74	19%
Don't know...	0	0%	7	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q9: Makes no Sense to Ask for New Taxes With Bad Economy  
 By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	44	29%	120	48%	164	41%
Somewhat agree.....	35	23%	54	22%	89	22%
Somewhat disagree...	32	21%	34	14%	66	17%
Strongly disagree...	39	26%	35	14%	74	19%
Don't know...	1	1%	6	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q9: Makes no Sense to Ask for New Taxes With Bad Economy  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	52	52%	33	33%	38	38%	41	41%	164	41%
Somewhat agree.....	18	18%	22	22%	29	29%	20	20%	89	22%
Somewhat disagree...	10	10%	18	18%	16	16%	22	22%	66	17%
Strongly disagree...	19	19%	23	23%	15	15%	17	17%	74	19%
Don't know...	1	1%	4	4%	2	2%	0	0%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q9: Makes no Sense to Ask for New Taxes With Bad Economy  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	81	44%	83	38%	164	41%
Somewhat agree.....	37	20%	52	24%	89	22%
Somewhat disagree...	31	17%	35	16%	66	17%
Strongly disagree...	33	18%	41	19%	74	19%
Don't know...	2	1%	5	2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q9: Makes no Sense to Ask for New Taxes With Bad Economy  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	18 14%	21 19%	22 65%	89 89%	14 56%	164 41%
Somewhat agree.....	24 18%	42 39%	10 29%	4 4%	9 36%	89 22%
Somewhat disagree...	31 23%	30 28%	1 3%	2 2%	2 8%	66 17%
Strongly disagree...	59 44%	12 11%	1 3%	2 2%	0 0%	74 19%
Don't know...	1 1%	3 3%	0 0%	3 3%	0 0%	7 2%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q10: Greater Priorities Such as Schools and Roads  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	3	23%	157	41%	160	40%
Somewhat agree.....	3	23%	94	24%	97	24%
Somewhat disagree...	1	8%	67	17%	68	17%
Strongly disagree...	5	38%	57	15%	62	16%
Don't know...	1	8%	12	3%	13	3%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q10: Greater Priorities Such as Schools and Roads  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	11	42%	149	40%	160	40%
Somewhat agree.....	5	19%	92	25%	97	24%
Somewhat disagree...	5	19%	63	17%	68	17%
Strongly disagree...	5	19%	57	15%	62	16%
Don't know...	0	0%	13	3%	13	3%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q10: Greater Priorities Such as Schools and Roads  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	16	24%	144	43%	160	40%
Somewhat agree.....	14	21%	83	25%	97	24%
Somewhat disagree...	15	23%	53	16%	68	17%
Strongly disagree...	20	30%	42	13%	62	16%
Don't know...	1	2%	12	4%	13	3%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q10: Greater Priorities Such as Schools and Roads  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	9	26%	151	41%	160	40%
Somewhat agree.....	5	14%	92	25%	97	24%
Somewhat disagree...	7	20%	61	17%	68	17%
Strongly disagree...	14	40%	48	13%	62	16%
Don't know...	0	0%	13	4%	13	3%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q10: Greater Priorities Such as Schools and Roads  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	25	38%	135	40%	160	40%
Somewhat agree.....	17	26%	80	24%	97	24%
Somewhat disagree...	6	9%	62	19%	68	17%
Strongly disagree...	17	26%	45	13%	62	16%
Don't know...	1	2%	12	4%	13	3%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q10: Greater Priorities Such as Schools and Roads  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	53	35%	107	43%	160	40%
Somewhat agree.....	36	24%	61	24%	97	24%
Somewhat disagree...	25	17%	43	17%	68	17%
Strongly disagree...	34	23%	28	11%	62	16%
Don't know...	3	2%	10	4%	13	3%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q10: Greater Priorities Such as Schools and Roads  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	43	43%	37	37%	44	44%	36	36%	160	40%
Somewhat agree.....	20	20%	27	27%	22	22%	28	28%	97	24%
Somewhat disagree...	13	13%	17	17%	19	19%	19	19%	68	17%
Strongly disagree...	19	19%	15	15%	13	13%	15	15%	62	16%
Don't know...	5	5%	4	4%	2	2%	2	2%	13	3%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q10: Greater Priorities Such as Schools and Roads  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	77	42%	83	38%	160	40%
Somewhat agree.....	43	23%	54	25%	97	24%
Somewhat disagree...	31	17%	37	17%	68	17%
Strongly disagree...	29	16%	33	15%	62	16%
Don't know...	4	2%	9	4%	13	3%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q10: Greater Priorities Such as Schools and Roads  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	20 15%	24 22%	21 62%	84 84%	11 44%	160 40%
Somewhat agree.....	36 27%	39 36%	7 21%	10 10%	5 20%	97 24%
Somewhat disagree...	27 20%	29 27%	6 18%	1 1%	5 20%	68 17%
Strongly disagree...	47 35%	10 9%	0 0%	3 3%	2 8%	62 16%
Don't know...	3 2%	6 6%	0 0%	2 2%	2 8%	13 3%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q11A1: Rate Importance of Containing Suburban Sprawl  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important...	1 8%	25 6%	26 7%	
2 rating....	0 0%	31 8%	31 8%	
3 rating....	0 0%	117 30%	117 29%	
4 rating....	3 23%	67 17%	70 18%	
5 Very				
important...	9 69%	125 32%	134 34%	
Don't know...	0 0%	22 6%	22 6%	
TOTAL.....	13 100%	387 100%	400 100%	

Q11A1: Rate Importance of Containing Suburban Sprawl  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important...	3 12%	23 6%	26 7%	
2 rating....	0 0%	31 8%	31 8%	
3 rating....	7 27%	110 29%	117 29%	
4 rating....	5 19%	65 17%	70 18%	
5 Very				
important...	11 42%	123 33%	134 34%	
Don't know...	0 0%	22 6%	22 6%	
TOTAL.....	26 100%	374 100%	400 100%	

Q11A1: Rate Importance of Containing Suburban Sprawl  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	1	2%	25	7%	26	7%
2 rating....	2	3%	29	9%	31	8%
3 rating....	15	23%	102	31%	117	29%
4 rating....	11	17%	59	18%	70	18%
5 Very						
important...	36	55%	98	29%	134	34%
Don't know...	1	2%	21	6%	22	6%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q11A1: Rate Importance of Containing Suburban Sprawl  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	3	9%	23	6%	26	7%
2 rating....	1	3%	30	8%	31	8%
3 rating....	6	17%	111	30%	117	29%
4 rating....	8	23%	62	17%	70	18%
5 Very						
important...	17	49%	117	32%	134	34%
Don't know...	0	0%	22	6%	22	6%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q11A1: Rate Importance of Containing Suburban Sprawl  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club		TOTAL
	Member	Not member	
IMPORTANCE:			
1 Not at all			
important...	5 8%	21 6%	26 7%
2 rating....	2 3%	29 9%	31 8%
3 rating....	23 35%	94 28%	117 29%
4 rating....	15 23%	55 16%	70 18%
5 Very			
important...	21 32%	113 34%	134 34%
Don't know...	0 0%	22 7%	22 6%
TOTAL.....	66 100%	334 100%	400 100%

Q11A1: Rate Importance of Containing Suburban Sprawl  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization		TOTAL
	Member	Not member	
IMPORTANCE:			
1 Not at all			
important...	10 7%	16 6%	26 7%
2 rating....	4 3%	27 11%	31 8%
3 rating....	43 28%	74 30%	117 29%
4 rating....	31 21%	39 16%	70 18%
5 Very			
important...	62 41%	72 29%	134 34%
Don't know...	1 1%	21 8%	22 6%
TOTAL.....	151 100%	249 100%	400 100%

Q11A1: Rate Importance of Containing Suburban Sprawl  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
IMPORTANCE:										
1 Not at all										
important..	6	6%	1	1%	13	13%	6	6%	26	7%
2 rating....	6	6%	6	6%	10	10%	9	9%	31	8%
3 rating....	30	30%	24	24%	28	28%	35	35%	117	29%
4 rating....	19	19%	19	19%	16	16%	16	16%	70	18%
5 Very										
important..	34	34%	43	43%	29	29%	28	28%	134	34%
Don't know..	5	5%	7	7%	4	4%	6	6%	22	6%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q11A1: Rate Importance of Containing Suburban Sprawl  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	12	7%	14	6%	26	7%
2 rating....	12	7%	19	9%	31	8%
3 rating....	63	34%	54	25%	117	29%
4 rating....	35	19%	35	16%	70	18%
5 Very						
important..	57	31%	77	36%	134	34%
Don't know..	5	3%	17	8%	22	6%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q11A1: Rate Importance of Containing Suburban Sprawl  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all important...	2 2%	3 3%	2 6%	15 15%	4 16%	26 7%
2 rating....	5 4%	6 6%	3 9%	17 17%	0 0%	31 8%
3 rating....	20 15%	43 40%	15 44%	35 35%	4 16%	117 29%
4 rating....	32 24%	20 19%	8 24%	6 6%	4 16%	70 18%
5 Very important...	73 55%	29 27%	4 12%	19 19%	9 36%	134 34%
Don't know...	1 1%	7 6%	2 6%	8 8%	4 16%	22 6%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q11A2: Rate Importance of Protecting Watersheds  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	0	0%	13	3%	13	3%
2 rating....	0	0%	13	3%	13	3%
3 rating....	1	8%	64	17%	65	16%
4 rating....	1	8%	85	22%	86	22%
5 Very						
important...	11	85%	206	53%	217	54%
Don't know..	0	0%	6	2%	6	2%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q11A2: Rate Importance of Protecting Watersheds  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	2	8%	11	3%	13	3%
2 rating....	1	4%	12	3%	13	3%
3 rating....	2	8%	63	17%	65	16%
4 rating....	7	27%	79	21%	86	22%
5 Very						
important...	14	54%	203	54%	217	54%
Don't know..	0	0%	6	2%	6	2%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q11A2: Rate Importance of Protecting Watersheds  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	0	0%	13	4%	13	3%
2 rating....	0	0%	13	4%	13	3%
3 rating....	4	6%	61	18%	65	16%
4 rating....	8	12%	78	23%	86	22%
5 Very						
important..	53	80%	164	49%	217	54%
Don't know..	1	2%	5	1%	6	2%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q11A2: Rate Importance of Protecting Watersheds  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	1	3%	12	3%	13	3%
2 rating....	1	3%	12	3%	13	3%
3 rating....	3	9%	62	17%	65	16%
4 rating....	8	23%	78	21%	86	22%
5 Very						
important..	22	63%	195	53%	217	54%
Don't know..	0	0%	6	2%	6	2%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q11A2: Rate Importance of Protecting Watersheds  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	0	0%	13	4%	13	3%
2 rating....	1	2%	12	4%	13	3%
3 rating....	13	20%	52	16%	65	16%
4 rating....	18	27%	68	20%	86	22%
5 Very						
important...	34	52%	183	55%	217	54%
Don't know...	0	0%	6	2%	6	2%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q11A2: Rate Importance of Protecting Watersheds  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	3	2%	10	4%	13	3%
2 rating....	3	2%	10	4%	13	3%
3 rating....	19	13%	46	18%	65	16%
4 rating....	32	21%	54	22%	86	22%
5 Very						
important...	93	62%	124	50%	217	54%
Don't know...	1	1%	5	2%	6	2%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q11A2: Rate Importance of Protecting Watersheds  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
IMPORTANCE:										
1 Not at all										
important...	4	4%	2	2%	5	5%	2	2%	13	3%
2 rating....	3	3%	3	3%	3	3%	4	4%	13	3%
3 rating....	17	17%	9	9%	15	15%	24	24%	65	16%
4 rating....	22	22%	16	16%	24	24%	24	24%	86	22%
5 Very										
important...	51	51%	68	68%	52	52%	46	46%	217	54%
Don't know...	3	3%	2	2%	1	1%	0	0%	6	2%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q11A2: Rate Importance of Protecting Watersheds  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	6	3%	7	3%	13	3%
2 rating....	10	5%	3	1%	13	3%
3 rating....	28	15%	37	17%	65	16%
4 rating....	52	28%	34	16%	86	22%
5 Very						
important...	87	47%	130	60%	217	54%
Don't know...	1	1%	5	2%	6	2%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q11A2: Rate Importance of Protecting Watersheds  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all important...	1 1%	1 1%	0 0%	10 10%	1 4%	13 3%
2 rating....	2 2%	3 3%	1 3%	6 6%	1 4%	13 3%
3 rating....	9 7%	22 20%	7 21%	22 22%	5 20%	65 16%
4 rating....	25 19%	27 25%	10 29%	19 19%	5 20%	86 22%
5 Very important...	95 71%	53 49%	15 44%	41 41%	13 52%	217 54%
Don't know...	1 1%	2 2%	1 3%	2 2%	0 0%	6 2%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q11A3: Rate Importance of Protecting Wildlife Habitat  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	1	8%	15	4%	16	4%
2 rating....	0	0%	26	7%	26	7%
3 rating....	1	8%	78	20%	79	20%
4 rating....	3	23%	78	20%	81	20%
5 Very						
important..	8	62%	189	49%	197	49%
Don't know..	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q11A3: Rate Importance of Protecting Wildlife Habitat  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	3	12%	13	3%	16	4%
2 rating....	0	0%	26	7%	26	7%
3 rating....	5	19%	74	20%	79	20%
4 rating....	6	23%	75	20%	81	20%
5 Very						
important..	12	46%	185	49%	197	49%
Don't know..	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q11A3: Rate Importance of Protecting Wildlife Habitat  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	1	2%	15	4%	16	4%
2 rating....	2	3%	24	7%	26	7%
3 rating....	3	5%	76	23%	79	20%
4 rating....	11	17%	70	21%	81	20%
5 Very						
important...	49	74%	148	44%	197	49%
Don't know...	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q11A3: Rate Importance of Protecting Wildlife Habitat  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	2	6%	14	4%	16	4%
2 rating....	1	3%	25	7%	26	7%
3 rating....	4	11%	75	21%	79	20%
4 rating....	4	11%	77	21%	81	20%
5 Very						
important...	24	69%	173	47%	197	49%
Don't know...	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q11A3: Rate Importance of Protecting Wildlife Habitat  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	2	3%	14	4%	16	4%
2 rating....	2	3%	24	7%	26	7%
3 rating....	12	18%	67	20%	79	20%
4 rating....	11	17%	70	21%	81	20%
5 Very						
important...	39	59%	158	47%	197	49%
Don't know...	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q11A3: Rate Importance of Protecting Wildlife Habitat  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	8	5%	8	3%	16	4%
2 rating....	4	3%	22	9%	26	7%
3 rating....	19	13%	60	24%	79	20%
4 rating....	31	21%	50	20%	81	20%
5 Very						
important...	89	59%	108	43%	197	49%
Don't know...	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q11A3: Rate Importance of Protecting Wildlife Habitat  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
IMPORTANCE:										
1 Not at all										
important...	4	4%	1	1%	8	8%	3	3%	16	4%
2 rating....	8	8%	5	5%	6	6%	7	7%	26	7%
3 rating....	19	19%	17	17%	19	19%	24	24%	79	20%
4 rating....	21	21%	18	18%	22	22%	20	20%	81	20%
5 Very										
important...	47	47%	59	59%	45	45%	46	46%	197	49%
Don't know..	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q11A3: Rate Importance of Protecting Wildlife Habitat  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	8	4%	8	4%	16	4%
2 rating....	15	8%	11	5%	26	7%
3 rating....	34	18%	45	21%	79	20%
4 rating....	39	21%	42	19%	81	20%
5 Very						
important...	88	48%	109	50%	197	49%
Don't know..	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q11A3: Rate Importance of Protecting Wildlife Habitat  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all important...	1 1%	1 1%	1 3%	11 11%	2 8%	16 4%
2 rating....	3 2%	3 3%	5 15%	15 15%	0 0%	26 7%
3 rating....	11 8%	21 19%	9 26%	30 30%	8 32%	79 20%
4 rating....	23 17%	24 22%	8 24%	19 19%	7 28%	81 20%
5 Very important...	95 71%	59 55%	11 32%	24 24%	8 32%	197 49%
Don't know...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%	0 0%	1 0%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q11A4: Rate Importance of Enhancing Trails and Recreation  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	0	0%	41	11%	41	10%
2 rating....	1	8%	43	11%	44	11%
3 rating....	2	15%	109	28%	111	28%
4 rating....	3	23%	89	23%	92	23%
5 Very						
important..	7	54%	101	26%	108	27%
Don't know..	0	0%	4	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q11A4: Rate Importance of Enhancing Trails and Recreation  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	2	8%	39	10%	41	10%
2 rating....	3	12%	41	11%	44	11%
3 rating....	3	12%	108	29%	111	28%
4 rating....	7	27%	85	23%	92	23%
5 Very						
important..	11	42%	97	26%	108	27%
Don't know..	0	0%	4	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q11A4: Rate Importance of Enhancing Trails and Recreation  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	4	6%	37	11%	41	10%
2 rating....	2	3%	42	13%	44	11%
3 rating....	8	12%	103	31%	111	28%
4 rating....	23	35%	69	21%	92	23%
5 Very						
important...	28	42%	80	24%	108	27%
Don't know...	1	2%	3	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q11A4: Rate Importance of Enhancing Trails and Recreation  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	4	11%	37	10%	41	10%
2 rating....	0	0%	44	12%	44	11%
3 rating....	2	6%	109	30%	111	28%
4 rating....	9	26%	83	23%	92	23%
5 Very						
important...	20	57%	88	24%	108	27%
Don't know...	0	0%	4	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q11A4: Rate Importance of Enhancing Trails and Recreation  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	3	5%	38	11%	41	10%
2 rating....	8	12%	36	11%	44	11%
3 rating....	15	23%	96	29%	111	28%
4 rating....	16	24%	76	23%	92	23%
5 Very						
important...	24	36%	84	25%	108	27%
Don't know...	0	0%	4	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q11A4: Rate Importance of Enhancing Trails and Recreation  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	12	8%	29	12%	41	10%
2 rating....	12	8%	32	13%	44	11%
3 rating....	25	17%	86	35%	111	28%
4 rating....	44	29%	48	19%	92	23%
5 Very						
important...	57	38%	51	20%	108	27%
Don't know...	1	1%	3	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q11A4: Rate Importance of Enhancing Trails and Recreation  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
IMPORTANCE:										
1 Not at all										
important...	17	17%	6	6%	10	10%	8	8%	41	10%
2 rating....	10	10%	10	10%	10	10%	14	14%	44	11%
3 rating....	27	27%	25	25%	32	32%	27	27%	111	28%
4 rating....	18	18%	28	28%	23	23%	23	23%	92	23%
5 Very										
important...	25	25%	30	30%	25	25%	28	28%	108	27%
Don't know..	3	3%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q11A4: Rate Importance of Enhancing Trails and Recreation  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	17	9%	24	11%	41	10%
2 rating....	22	12%	22	10%	44	11%
3 rating....	51	28%	60	28%	111	28%
4 rating....	44	24%	48	22%	92	23%
5 Very						
important...	49	27%	59	27%	108	27%
Don't know..	1	1%	3	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q11A4: Rate Importance of Enhancing Trails and Recreation  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all important...	0 0%	1 1%	4 12%	34 34%	2 8%	41 10%
2 rating....	7 5%	9 8%	5 15%	20 20%	3 12%	44 11%
3 rating....	19 14%	32 30%	16 47%	32 32%	12 48%	111 28%
4 rating....	44 33%	36 33%	2 6%	8 8%	2 8%	92 23%
5 Very important...	62 47%	29 27%	6 18%	5 5%	6 24%	108 27%
Don't know...	1 1%	1 1%	1 3%	1 1%	0 0%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q11A5: Rate Importance of Protecting Fayetteville's Natural Beauty  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	1	8%	18	5%	19	5%
2 rating....	0	0%	24	6%	24	6%
3 rating....	2	15%	73	19%	75	19%
4 rating....	0	0%	94	24%	94	24%
5 Very						
important..	10	77%	176	45%	186	47%
Don't know..	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q11A5: Rate Importance of Protecting Fayetteville's Natural Beauty  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	1	4%	18	5%	19	5%
2 rating....	0	0%	24	6%	24	6%
3 rating....	0	0%	75	20%	75	19%
4 rating....	7	27%	87	23%	94	24%
5 Very						
important..	18	69%	168	45%	186	47%
Don't know..	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q11A5: Rate Importance of Protecting Fayetteville's Natural Beauty  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	0	0%	19	6%	19	5%
2 rating....	1	2%	23	7%	24	6%
3 rating....	8	12%	67	20%	75	19%
4 rating....	10	15%	84	25%	94	24%
5 Very						
important...	46	70%	140	42%	186	47%
Don't know...	1	2%	1	0%	2	1%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q11A5: Promoting and Protecting Fayetteville's Natural Beauty  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	1	3%	18	5%	19	5%
2 rating....	1	3%	23	6%	24	6%
3 rating....	3	9%	72	20%	75	19%
4 rating....	2	6%	92	25%	94	24%
5 Very						
important...	28	80%	158	43%	186	47%
Don't know...	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q11A5: Promoting and Protecting Fayetteville's Natural Beauty  
 By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	2	3%	17	5%	19	5%
2 rating....	3	5%	21	6%	24	6%
3 rating....	8	12%	67	20%	75	19%
4 rating....	22	33%	72	22%	94	24%
5 Very						
important..	31	47%	155	46%	186	47%
Don't know..	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q11A5: Rate Importance of Protecting Fayetteville's Natural Beauty  
 By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	4	3%	15	6%	19	5%
2 rating....	5	3%	19	8%	24	6%
3 rating....	20	13%	55	22%	75	19%
4 rating....	31	21%	63	25%	94	24%
5 Very						
important..	90	60%	96	39%	186	47%
Don't know..	1	1%	1	0%	2	1%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q11A5: Rate Importance of Protecting Fayetteville's Natural Beauty  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
IMPORTANCE:										
1 Not at all										
important...	7	7%	1	1%	7	7%	4	4%	19	5%
2 rating....	7	7%	3	3%	7	7%	7	7%	24	6%
3 rating....	20	20%	16	16%	16	16%	23	23%	75	19%
4 rating....	18	18%	26	26%	25	25%	25	25%	94	24%
5 Very										
important...	46	46%	54	54%	45	45%	41	41%	186	47%
Don't know...	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q11A5: Promoting and Protecting Fayetteville's Natural Beauty  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	9	5%	10	5%	19	5%
2 rating....	10	5%	14	6%	24	6%
3 rating....	39	21%	36	17%	75	19%
4 rating....	46	25%	48	22%	94	24%
5 Very						
important...	79	43%	107	50%	186	47%
Don't know...	1	1%	1	0%	2	1%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q11A5: Rate Importance of Protecting Fayetteville's Natural Beauty  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all important...	2 2%	1 1%	2 6%	12 12%	2 8%	19 5%
2 rating....	1 1%	3 3%	1 3%	19 19%	0 0%	24 6%
3 rating....	15 11%	14 13%	12 35%	30 30%	4 16%	75 19%
4 rating....	21 16%	34 31%	14 41%	20 20%	5 20%	94 24%
5 Very important...	93 70%	56 52%	5 15%	18 18%	14 56%	186 47%
Don't know...	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%	0 0%	2 1%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q11A6: Rate Importance of Promoting Economic Development  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important..	1 8%	30 8%	31 8%	
2 rating....	0 0%	33 9%	33 8%	
3 rating....	4 31%	89 23%	93 23%	
4 rating....	2 15%	92 24%	94 24%	
5 Very				
important..	6 46%	139 36%	145 36%	
Don't know..	0 0%	4 1%	4 1%	
TOTAL.....	13 100%	387 100%	400 100%	

Q11A6: Rate Importance of Promoting Economic Development  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important..	1 4%	30 8%	31 8%	
2 rating....	1 4%	32 9%	33 8%	
3 rating....	6 23%	87 23%	93 23%	
4 rating....	7 27%	87 23%	94 24%	
5 Very				
important..	11 42%	134 36%	145 36%	
Don't know..	0 0%	4 1%	4 1%	
TOTAL.....	26 100%	374 100%	400 100%	

Q11A6: Rate Importance of Promoting Economic Development  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group		TOTAL
	Member	Not member	
IMPORTANCE:			
1 Not at all			
important...	4 6%	27 8%	31 8%
2 rating....	7 11%	26 8%	33 8%
3 rating....	16 24%	77 23%	93 23%
4 rating....	17 26%	77 23%	94 24%
5 Very			
important...	21 32%	124 37%	145 36%
Don't know...	1 2%	3 1%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	66 100%	334 100%	400 100%

Q11A6: Rate Importance of Promoting Economic Development  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization		TOTAL
	Member	Not member	
IMPORTANCE:			
1 Not at all			
important...	2 6%	29 8%	31 8%
2 rating....	1 3%	32 9%	33 8%
3 rating....	3 9%	90 25%	93 23%
4 rating....	6 17%	88 24%	94 24%
5 Very			
important...	22 63%	123 34%	145 36%
Don't know...	1 3%	3 1%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	35 100%	365 100%	400 100%

Q11A6: Rate Importance of Promoting Economic Development  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	6	9%	25	7%	31	8%
2 rating....	2	3%	31	9%	33	8%
3 rating....	8	12%	85	25%	93	23%
4 rating....	12	18%	82	25%	94	24%
5 Very						
important...	38	58%	107	32%	145	36%
Don't know...	0	0%	4	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q11A6: Rate Importance of Promoting Economic Development  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	10	7%	21	8%	31	8%
2 rating....	11	7%	22	9%	33	8%
3 rating....	29	19%	64	26%	93	23%
4 rating....	35	23%	59	24%	94	24%
5 Very						
important...	64	42%	81	33%	145	36%
Don't know...	2	1%	2	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q11A6: Rate Importance of Promoting Economic Development  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
IMPORTANCE:										
1 Not at all										
important...	7	7%	8	8%	13	13%	3	3%	31	8%
2 rating....	6	6%	14	14%	6	6%	7	7%	33	8%
3 rating....	18	18%	22	22%	23	23%	30	30%	93	23%
4 rating....	22	22%	25	25%	24	24%	23	23%	94	24%
5 Very										
important...	43	43%	31	31%	34	34%	37	37%	145	36%
Don't know..	4	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q11A6: Rate Importance of Promoting Economic Development  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	20	11%	11	5%	31	8%
2 rating....	15	8%	18	8%	33	8%
3 rating....	39	21%	54	25%	93	23%
4 rating....	44	24%	50	23%	94	24%
5 Very						
important...	64	35%	81	38%	145	36%
Don't know..	2	1%	2	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q11A6: Rate Importance of Promoting Economic Development  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all important...	7 5%	3 3%	3 9%	16 16%	2 8%	31 8%
2 rating....	8 6%	10 9%	5 15%	8 8%	2 8%	33 8%
3 rating....	28 21%	24 22%	9 26%	24 24%	8 32%	93 23%
4 rating....	34 26%	37 34%	4 12%	13 13%	6 24%	94 24%
5 Very important...	54 41%	34 31%	13 38%	38 38%	6 24%	145 36%
Don't know...	2 2%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%	1 4%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q11A7: Rate Importance of Conserving Farmland  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	0	0%	14	4%	14	4%
2 rating....	2	15%	27	7%	29	7%
3 rating....	3	23%	83	21%	86	22%
4 rating....	2	15%	81	21%	83	21%
5 Very						
important...	6	46%	180	47%	186	47%
Don't know...	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q11A7: Rate Importance of Conserving Farmland  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	1	4%	13	3%	14	4%
2 rating....	4	15%	25	7%	29	7%
3 rating....	4	15%	82	22%	86	22%
4 rating....	2	8%	81	22%	83	21%
5 Very						
important...	15	58%	171	46%	186	47%
Don't know...	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q11A7: Rate Importance of Conserving Farmland  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	1	2%	13	4%	14	4%
2 rating....	3	5%	26	8%	29	7%
3 rating....	5	8%	81	24%	86	22%
4 rating....	18	27%	65	19%	83	21%
5 Very						
important...	38	58%	148	44%	186	47%
Don't know...	1	2%	1	0%	2	1%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q11A7: Rate Importance of Conserving Farmland  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	1	3%	13	4%	14	4%
2 rating....	2	6%	27	7%	29	7%
3 rating....	5	14%	81	22%	86	22%
4 rating....	6	17%	77	21%	83	21%
5 Very						
important...	21	60%	165	45%	186	47%
Don't know...	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q11A7: Rate Importance of Conserving Farmland  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
2 important...	1	2%	13	4%	14	4%
3 rating....	4	6%	25	7%	29	7%
4 rating....	10	15%	76	23%	86	22%
5 Very						
important...	38	58%	148	44%	186	47%
Don't know...	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q11A7: Rate Importance of Conserving Farmland  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
2 important...	4	3%	10	4%	14	4%
3 rating....	13	9%	16	6%	29	7%
4 rating....	24	16%	62	25%	86	22%
5 Very						
important...	75	50%	111	45%	186	47%
Don't know...	1	1%	1	0%	2	1%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q11A7: Rate Importance of Conserving Farmland  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
IMPORTANCE:										
1 Not at all										
important...	4	4%	3	3%	5	5%	2	2%	14	4%
2 rating....	6	6%	4	4%	11	11%	8	8%	29	7%
3 rating....	20	20%	17	17%	21	21%	28	28%	86	22%
4 rating....	21	21%	30	30%	15	15%	17	17%	83	21%
5 Very										
important...	47	47%	46	46%	48	48%	45	45%	186	47%
Don't know..	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q11A7: Rate Importance of Conserving Farmland  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	10	5%	4	2%	14	4%
2 rating....	19	10%	10	5%	29	7%
3 rating....	44	24%	42	19%	86	22%
4 rating....	36	20%	47	22%	83	21%
5 Very						
important...	74	40%	112	52%	186	47%
Don't know..	1	1%	1	0%	2	1%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q11A7: Rate Importance of Conserving Farmland  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all important...	1 1%	2 2%	1 3%	9 9%	1 4%	14 4%
2 rating....	7 5%	5 5%	2 6%	12 12%	3 12%	29 7%
3 rating....	26 20%	22 20%	7 21%	26 26%	5 20%	86 22%
4 rating....	30 23%	28 26%	10 29%	10 10%	5 20%	83 21%
5 Very important...	68 51%	51 47%	14 41%	42 42%	11 44%	186 47%
Don't know...	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%	0 0%	2 1%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q12: Additional Taxes Willing to Pay for Conservation  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters		TOTAL
	Member	Not member	
WILLING TO PAY:			
\$60 more....	9 69%	87 22%	96 24%
\$48 more....	1 8%	59 15%	60 15%
\$35 more....	2 15%	42 11%	44 11%
\$24 more....	0 0%	46 12%	46 12%
\$12 more....	0 0%	32 8%	32 8%
None.....	1 8%	97 25%	98 25%
Don't know..	0 0%	24 6%	24 6%
TOTAL.....	13 100%	387 100%	400 100%

Q12: Additional Taxes Willing to Pay for Conservation  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce		TOTAL
	Member	Not member	
WILLING TO PAY:			
\$60 more....	10 38%	86 23%	96 24%
\$48 more....	4 15%	56 15%	60 15%
\$35 more....	5 19%	39 10%	44 11%
\$24 more....	1 4%	45 12%	46 12%
\$12 more....	0 0%	32 9%	32 8%
None.....	5 19%	93 25%	98 25%
Don't know..	1 4%	23 6%	24 6%
TOTAL.....	26 100%	374 100%	400 100%

Q12: Additional Taxes Willing to Pay for Conservation  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
WILLING TO						
PAY:						
\$60 more....	24	36%	72	22%	96	24%
\$48 more....	18	27%	42	13%	60	15%
\$35 more....	8	12%	36	11%	44	11%
\$24 more....	5	8%	41	12%	46	12%
\$12 more....	1	2%	31	9%	32	8%
None.....	8	12%	90	27%	98	25%
Don't know..	2	3%	22	7%	24	6%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q12: Additional Taxes Willing to Pay for Conservation  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
WILLING TO						
PAY:						
\$60 more....	15	43%	81	22%	96	24%
\$48 more....	6	17%	54	15%	60	15%
\$35 more....	5	14%	39	11%	44	11%
\$24 more....	5	14%	41	11%	46	12%
\$12 more....	0	0%	32	9%	32	8%
None.....	4	11%	94	26%	98	25%
Don't know..	0	0%	24	7%	24	6%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q12: Additional Taxes Willing to Pay for Conservation  
 By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
WILLING TO PAY:				
\$60 more....	20 30%	76 23%	96	24%
\$48 more....	9 14%	51 15%	60	15%
\$35 more....	10 15%	34 10%	44	11%
\$24 more....	8 12%	38 11%	46	12%
\$12 more....	2 3%	30 9%	32	8%
None.....	13 20%	85 25%	98	25%
Don't know..	4 6%	20 6%	24	6%
TOTAL.....	66 100%	334 100%	400	100%

Q12: Additional Taxes Willing to Pay for Conservation  
 By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
WILLING TO PAY:				
\$60 more....	52 34%	44 18%	96	24%
\$48 more....	28 19%	32 13%	60	15%
\$35 more....	21 14%	23 9%	44	11%
\$24 more....	17 11%	29 12%	46	12%
\$12 more....	2 1%	30 12%	32	8%
None.....	26 17%	72 29%	98	25%
Don't know..	5 3%	19 8%	24	6%
TOTAL.....	151 100%	249 100%	400	100%

Q12: Additional Taxes Willing to Pay for Conservation  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
WILLING TO PAY:										
\$60 more....	22	22%	30	30%	26	26%	18	18%	96	24%
\$48 more....	13	13%	15	15%	15	15%	17	17%	60	15%
\$35 more....	11	11%	10	10%	9	9%	14	14%	44	11%
\$24 more....	10	10%	14	14%	11	11%	11	11%	46	12%
\$12 more....	8	8%	9	9%	6	6%	9	9%	32	8%
None.....	27	27%	14	14%	27	27%	30	30%	98	25%
Don't know..	9	9%	8	8%	6	6%	1	1%	24	6%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q12: Additional Taxes Willing to Pay for Conservation  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
WILLING TO PAY:						
\$60 more....	42	23%	54	25%	96	24%
\$48 more....	34	18%	26	12%	60	15%
\$35 more....	20	11%	24	11%	44	11%
\$24 more....	17	9%	29	13%	46	12%
\$12 more....	7	4%	25	12%	32	8%
None.....	55	30%	43	20%	98	25%
Don't know..	9	5%	15	7%	24	6%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q12: Additional Taxes Willing to Pay for Conservation  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
WILLING TO PAY:						
\$60 more....	57 43%	32 30%	4 12%	1 1%	2 8%	96 24%
\$48 more....	26 20%	22 20%	2 6%	7 7%	3 12%	60 15%
\$35 more....	21 16%	15 14%	1 3%	2 2%	5 20%	44 11%
\$24 more....	14 11%	20 19%	2 6%	7 7%	3 12%	46 12%
\$12 more....	4 3%	12 11%	7 21%	7 7%	2 8%	32 8%
None.....	5 4%	3 3%	13 38%	73 73%	4 16%	98 25%
Don't know..	6 5%	4 4%	5 15%	3 3%	6 24%	24 6%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q13A1: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Dedicating up to 5% of Funds Toward Maintenance  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	10	77%	244	63%	254	64%
Less likely.	1	8%	70	18%	71	18%
No						
difference.	1	8%	55	14%	56	14%
Don't know..	1	8%	18	5%	19	5%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q13A1: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	19	73%	235	63%	254	64%
Less likely.	5	19%	66	18%	71	18%
No						
difference.	2	8%	54	14%	56	14%
Don't know..	0	0%	19	5%	19	5%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q13A1: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Dedicating up to 5% of Funds Toward Maintenance  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	51	77%	203	61%	254	64%
Less likely.	9	14%	62	19%	71	18%
No						
difference.	5	8%	51	15%	56	14%
Don't know..	1	2%	18	5%	19	5%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q13A1: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Dedicating up to 5% of Funds Toward Maintenance  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	28	80%	226	62%	254	64%
Less likely.	6	17%	65	18%	71	18%
No difference.	1	3%	55	15%	56	14%
Don't know..	0	0%	19	5%	19	5%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q13A1: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Dedicating up to 5% of Funds Toward Maintenance  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	52	79%	202	60%	254	64%
Less likely.	12	18%	59	18%	71	18%
No difference.	2	3%	54	16%	56	14%
Don't know..	0	0%	19	6%	19	5%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q13A1: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Dedicating up to 5% of Funds Toward Maintenance  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	114	75%	140	56%	254	64%
Less likely.	26	17%	45	18%	71	18%
No difference.	9	6%	47	19%	56	14%
Don't know..	2	1%	17	7%	19	5%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q13A1: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Dedicating up to 5% of Funds Toward Maintenance  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
SUPPORT:										
More likely.	62	62%	67	67%	61	61%	64	64%	254	64%
Less likely.	16	16%	14	14%	22	22%	19	19%	71	18%
No difference.	17	17%	8	8%	15	15%	16	16%	56	14%
Don't know..	5	5%	11	11%	2	2%	1	1%	19	5%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q13A1: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Dedicating up to 5% of Funds Toward Maintenance  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	113	61%	141	65%	254	64%
Less likely.	43	23%	28	13%	71	18%
No difference.	23	13%	33	15%	56	14%
Don't know..	5	3%	14	6%	19	5%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q13A1: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Dedicating up to 5% of Funds Toward Maintenance  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL						
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided							
SUPPORT:												
More likely.	118	89%	85	79%	15	44%	26	26%	10	40%	254	64%
Less likely.	10	8%	6	6%	11	32%	41	41%	3	12%	71	18%
No difference.	2	2%	12	11%	6	18%	29	29%	7	28%	56	14%
Don't know..	3	2%	5	5%	2	6%	4	4%	5	20%	19	5%
TOTAL.....	133	100	108	100	34	100	100	100	25	100	400	100

Q13A2: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Requiring City Council Approval of Purchases  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	7	54%	225	58%	232	58%
Less likely.	3	23%	87	22%	90	23%
No difference.	2	15%	62	16%	64	16%
Don't know..	1	8%	13	3%	14	4%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q13A2: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Requiring City Council Approval of Purchases  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	16	62%	216	58%	232	58%
Less likely.	9	35%	81	22%	90	23%
No difference.	1	4%	63	17%	64	16%
Don't know..	0	0%	14	4%	14	4%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q13A2: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Requiring City Council Approval of Purchases  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	44	67%	188	56%	232	58%
Less likely.	13	20%	77	23%	90	23%
No difference.	8	12%	56	17%	64	16%
Don't know..	1	2%	13	4%	14	4%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q13A2: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Requiring City Council Approval of Purchases  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	25	71%	207	57%	232	58%
Less likely.	4	11%	86	24%	90	23%
No difference.	4	11%	60	16%	64	16%
Don't know..	2	6%	12	3%	14	4%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q13A2: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Requiring City Council Approval of Purchases  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	40	61%	192	57%	232	58%
Less likely.	21	32%	69	21%	90	23%
No difference.	5	8%	59	18%	64	16%
Don't know..	0	0%	14	4%	14	4%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q13A2: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Requiring City Council Approval of Purchases  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	93	62%	139	56%	232	58%
Less likely.	40	26%	50	20%	90	23%
No difference.	15	10%	49	20%	64	16%
Don't know..	3	2%	11	4%	14	4%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q13A2: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Requiring City Council Approval of Purchases  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
SUPPORT:										
More likely.	49	49%	66	66%	57	57%	60	60%	232	58%
Less likely.	22	22%	15	15%	29	29%	24	24%	90	23%
No difference.	23	23%	16	16%	12	12%	13	13%	64	16%
Don't know..	6	6%	3	3%	2	2%	3	3%	14	4%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q13A2: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Requiring City Council Approval of Purchases  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	104	57%	128	59%	232	58%
Less likely.	45	24%	45	21%	90	23%
No difference.	32	17%	32	15%	64	16%
Don't know..	3	2%	11	5%	14	4%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q13A2: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Requiring City Council Approval of Purchases  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL						
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided							
SUPPORT:												
More likely.	99	74%	72	67%	17	50%	30	30%	14	56%	232	58%
Less likely.	16	12%	20	19%	10	29%	39	39%	5	20%	90	23%
No difference.	12	9%	14	13%	6	18%	28	28%	4	16%	64	16%
Don't know..	6	5%	2	2%	1	3%	3	3%	2	8%	14	4%
TOTAL.....	133	100	108	100	34	100	100	100	25	100	400	100

Q13A3: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
 Of Conservation Advisory Board to Recommend Expenditures  
 By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	7	54%	225	58%	232	58%
Less likely.	3	23%	87	22%	90	23%
No difference.	2	15%	62	16%	64	16%
Don't know..	1	8%	13	3%	14	4%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q13A3: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
 Of Conservation Advisory Board to Recommend Expenditures  
 By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	18	69%	218	58%	236	59%
Less likely.	7	27%	89	24%	96	24%
No difference.	1	4%	46	12%	47	12%
Don't know..	0	0%	21	6%	21	5%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q13A3: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
 Of Conservation Advisory Board to Recommend Expenditures  
 By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	45	68%	191	57%	236	59%
Less likely.	12	18%	84	25%	96	24%
No difference.	4	6%	43	13%	47	12%
Don't know..	5	8%	16	5%	21	5%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q13A3: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Conservation Advisory Board to Recommend Expenditures  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	26	74%	210	58%	236	59%
Less likely.	5	14%	91	25%	96	24%
No difference.	2	6%	45	12%	47	12%
Don't know..	2	6%	19	5%	21	5%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q13A3: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Conservation Advisory Board to Recommend Expenditures  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	40	61%	196	59%	236	59%
Less likely.	21	32%	75	22%	96	24%
No difference.	5	8%	42	13%	47	12%
Don't know..	0	0%	21	6%	21	5%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q13A3: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Conservation Advisory Board to Recommend Expenditures  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	96	64%	140	56%	236	59%
Less likely.	38	25%	58	23%	96	24%
No difference.	10	7%	37	15%	47	12%
Don't know..	7	5%	14	6%	21	5%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q13A3: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Conservation Advisory Board to Recommend Expenditures  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
SUPPORT:										
More likely.	59	59%	69	69%	54	54%	54	54%	236	59%
Less likely.	22	22%	16	16%	28	28%	30	30%	96	24%
No difference.	13	13%	10	10%	13	13%	11	11%	47	12%
Don't know..	6	6%	5	5%	5	5%	5	5%	21	5%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q13A3: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Conservation Advisory Board to Recommend Expenditures  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
SUPPORT:						
More likely.	100	54%	136	63%	236	59%
Less likely.	56	30%	40	19%	96	24%
No difference.	22	12%	25	12%	47	12%
Don't know..	6	3%	15	7%	21	5%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q13A3: Effect on Support of Conservation Program  
Of Conservation Advisory Board to Recommend Expenditures  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL						
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided							
SUPPORT:												
More likely.	107	80%	69	64%	20	59%	25	25%	15	60%	236	59%
Less likely.	11	8%	25	23%	10	29%	45	45%	5	20%	96	24%
No difference.	7	5%	9	8%	3	9%	25	25%	3	12%	47	12%
Don't know..	8	6%	5	5%	1	3%	5	5%	2	8%	21	5%
TOTAL.....	133	100	108	100	34	100	100	100	25	100	400	100

Q14: Preferred Duration of Conservation Program  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
PREFER:						
< 10 years...	2	15%	128	33%	130	33%
10 years....	1	8%	104	27%	105	26%
> 10 years...	10	77%	125	32%	135	34%
Don't know...	0	0%	30	8%	30	8%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q14: Preferred Duration of Conservation Program  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
PREFER:						
< 10 years...	7	27%	123	33%	130	33%
10 years....	10	38%	95	25%	105	26%
> 10 years...	8	31%	127	34%	135	34%
Don't know...	1	4%	29	8%	30	8%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q14: Preferred Duration of Conservation Program  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
PREFER:						
< 10 years...	6	9%	124	37%	130	33%
10 years....	15	23%	90	27%	105	26%
> 10 years...	43	65%	92	28%	135	34%
Don't know...	2	3%	28	8%	30	8%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q14: Preferred Duration of Conservation Program  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
PREFER:						
< 10 years..	2	6%	128	35%	130	33%
10 years....	12	34%	93	25%	105	26%
> 10 years..	19	54%	116	32%	135	34%
Don't know..	2	6%	28	8%	30	8%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q14: Preferred Duration of Conservation Program  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
PREFER:						
< 10 years..	22	33%	108	32%	130	33%
10 years....	17	26%	88	26%	105	26%
> 10 years..	26	39%	109	33%	135	34%
Don't know..	1	2%	29	9%	30	8%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q14: Preferred Duration of Conservation Program  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
PREFER:						
< 10 years..	33	22%	97	39%	130	33%
10 years....	39	26%	66	27%	105	26%
> 10 years..	76	50%	59	24%	135	34%
Don't know..	3	2%	27	11%	30	8%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q14: Preferred Duration of Conservation Program  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
PREFER:										
< 10 years...	31	31%	26	26%	31	31%	42	42%	130	33%
10 years....	30	30%	25	25%	32	32%	18	18%	105	26%
> 10 years...	30	30%	39	39%	33	33%	33	33%	135	34%
Don't know...	9	9%	10	10%	4	4%	7	7%	30	8%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q14: Preferred Duration of Conservation Program  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
PREFER:						
< 10 years...	61	33%	69	32%	130	33%
10 years....	56	30%	49	23%	105	26%
> 10 years...	56	30%	79	37%	135	34%
Don't know...	11	6%	19	9%	30	8%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q14: Preferred Duration of Conservation Program  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL						
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided							
PREFER:												
< 10 years...	21	16%	30	28%	12	35%	57	57%	10	40%	130	33%
10 years....	38	29%	38	35%	10	29%	13	13%	6	24%	105	26%
> 10 years...	70	53%	31	29%	9	26%	20	20%	5	20%	135	34%
Don't know...	4	3%	9	8%	3	9%	10	10%	4	16%	30	8%
TOTAL.....	133	100	108	100	34	100	100	100	25	100	400	100

Q15A1: Rate Importance of Conserving Tree Covered Hillside  
 By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	0	0%	14	4%	14	4%
2 rating....	0	0%	18	5%	18	5%
3 rating....	1	8%	64	17%	65	16%
4 rating....	2	15%	79	20%	81	20%
5 Very						
important..	10	77%	207	53%	217	54%
Don't know..	0	0%	5	1%	5	1%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q15A1: Rate Importance of Conserving Tree Covered Hillside  
 By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	4	15%	10	3%	14	4%
2 rating....	1	4%	17	5%	18	5%
3 rating....	3	12%	62	17%	65	16%
4 rating....	6	23%	75	20%	81	20%
5 Very						
important..	12	46%	205	55%	217	54%
Don't know..	0	0%	5	1%	5	1%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q15A1: Rate Importance of Conserving Tree Covered Hillside  
 By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	1	2%	13	4%	14	4%
2 rating....	0	0%	18	5%	18	5%
3 rating....	1	2%	64	19%	65	16%
4 rating....	11	17%	70	21%	81	20%
5 Very						
important...	52	79%	165	49%	217	54%
Don't know...	1	2%	4	1%	5	1%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q15A1: Rate Importance of Conserving Tree Covered Hillside  
 By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	4	11%	10	3%	14	4%
2 rating....	1	3%	17	5%	18	5%
3 rating....	1	3%	64	18%	65	16%
4 rating....	7	20%	74	20%	81	20%
5 Very						
important...	22	63%	195	53%	217	54%
Don't know...	0	0%	5	1%	5	1%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q15A1: Rate Importance of Conserving Tree Covered Hillside  
 By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	2	3%	12	4%	14	4%
2 rating....	4	6%	14	4%	18	5%
3 rating....	10	15%	55	16%	65	16%
4 rating....	10	15%	71	21%	81	20%
5 Very						
important...	40	61%	177	53%	217	54%
Don't know...	0	0%	5	1%	5	1%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q15A1: Rate Importance of Conserving Tree Covered Hillside  
 By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	7	5%	7	3%	14	4%
2 rating....	5	3%	13	5%	18	5%
3 rating....	14	9%	51	20%	65	16%
4 rating....	27	18%	54	22%	81	20%
5 Very						
important...	97	64%	120	48%	217	54%
Don't know...	1	1%	4	2%	5	1%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q15A1: Rate Importance of Conserving Tree Covered Hillside  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
IMPORTANCE:										
1 Not at all										
important...	5	5%	3	3%	1	1%	5	5%	14	4%
2 rating....	4	4%	6	6%	5	5%	3	3%	18	5%
3 rating....	16	16%	10	10%	14	14%	25	25%	65	16%
4 rating....	11	11%	17	17%	29	29%	24	24%	81	20%
5 Very										
important...	61	61%	64	64%	50	50%	42	42%	217	54%
Don't know...	3	3%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	5	1%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q15A1: Rate Importance of Conserving Tree Covered Hillside  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	10	5%	4	2%	14	4%
2 rating....	10	5%	8	4%	18	5%
3 rating....	33	18%	32	15%	65	16%
4 rating....	40	22%	41	19%	81	20%
5 Very						
important...	89	48%	128	59%	217	54%
Don't know...	2	1%	3	1%	5	1%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q15A1: Rate Importance of Conserving Tree Covered Hillside  
 By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all important...	3 2%	1 1%	0 0%	10 10%	0 0%	14 4%
2 rating....	3 2%	3 3%	1 3%	10 10%	1 4%	18 5%
3 rating....	7 5%	20 19%	9 26%	23 23%	6 24%	65 16%
4 rating....	19 14%	33 31%	7 21%	18 18%	4 16%	81 20%
5 Very important...	100 75%	51 47%	17 50%	35 35%	14 56%	217 54%
Don't know...	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	4 4%	0 0%	5 1%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q15A2: Rate Importance of Conserving Streams or Rivers  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	0	0%	8	2%	8	2%
2 rating....	0	0%	4	1%	4	1%
3 rating....	1	8%	28	7%	29	7%
4 rating....	1	8%	61	16%	62	16%
5 Very						
important..	11	85%	282	73%	293	73%
Don't know..	0	0%	4	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q15A2: Rate Importance of Conserving Streams or Rivers  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	4	15%	4	1%	8	2%
2 rating....	0	0%	4	1%	4	1%
3 rating....	2	8%	27	7%	29	7%
4 rating....	4	15%	58	16%	62	16%
5 Very						
important..	16	62%	277	74%	293	73%
Don't know..	0	0%	4	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q15A2: Rate Importance of Conserving Streams or Rivers  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important...	1 2%	7 2%	8 2%	
2 rating....	0 0%	4 1%	4 1%	
3 rating....	0 0%	29 9%	29 7%	
4 rating....	3 5%	59 18%	62 16%	
5 Very				
important...	61 92%	232 69%	293 73%	
Don't know...	1 2%	3 1%	4 1%	
TOTAL.....	66 100%	334 100%	400 100%	

Q15A2: Rate Importance of Conserving Streams or Rivers  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important...	3 9%	5 1%	8 2%	
2 rating....	0 0%	4 1%	4 1%	
3 rating....	1 3%	28 8%	29 7%	
4 rating....	2 6%	60 16%	62 16%	
5 Very				
important...	29 83%	264 72%	293 73%	
Don't know...	0 0%	4 1%	4 1%	
TOTAL.....	35 100%	365 100%	400 100%	

Q15A2: Rate Importance of Conserving Streams or Rivers  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	2	3%	6	2%	8	2%
2 rating....	1	2%	3	1%	4	1%
3 rating....	4	6%	25	7%	29	7%
4 rating....	8	12%	54	16%	62	16%
5 Very						
important...	51	77%	242	72%	293	73%
Don't know...	0	0%	4	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q15A2: Rate Importance of Conserving Streams or Rivers  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	6	4%	2	1%	8	2%
2 rating....	1	1%	3	1%	4	1%
3 rating....	5	3%	24	10%	29	7%
4 rating....	16	11%	46	18%	62	16%
5 Very						
important...	122	81%	171	69%	293	73%
Don't know...	1	1%	3	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q15A2: Rate Importance of Conserving Streams or Rivers  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
IMPORTANCE:										
1 Not at all										
important...	3	3%	4	4%	0	0%	1	1%	8	2%
2 rating....	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	2	2%	4	1%
3 rating....	6	6%	4	4%	5	5%	14	14%	29	7%
4 rating....	12	12%	9	9%	25	25%	16	16%	62	16%
5 Very										
important...	75	75%	83	83%	68	68%	67	67%	293	73%
Don't know..	3	3%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q15A2: Rate Importance of Conserving Streams or Rivers  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	7	4%	1	0%	8	2%
2 rating....	2	1%	2	1%	4	1%
3 rating....	13	7%	16	7%	29	7%
4 rating....	37	20%	25	12%	62	16%
5 Very						
important...	123	67%	170	79%	293	73%
Don't know..	2	1%	2	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q15A2: Rate Importance of Conserving Streams or Rivers  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all important..	3 2%	0 0%	0 0%	4 4%	1 4%	8 2%
2 rating....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4 4%	0 0%	4 1%
3 rating....	1 1%	4 4%	3 9%	19 19%	2 8%	29 7%
4 rating....	15 11%	23 21%	5 15%	14 14%	5 20%	62 16%
5 Very important..	113 85%	81 75%	26 76%	56 56%	17 68%	293 73%
Don't know..	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	3 3%	0 0%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q15A3: Rate Importance of Conserving Prairies  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important..	1 8%	26 7%	27 7%	
2 rating....	0 0%	42 11%	42 11%	
3 rating....	3 23%	104 27%	107 27%	
4 rating....	3 23%	79 20%	82 21%	
5 Very				
important..	6 46%	129 33%	135 34%	
Don't know..	0 0%	7 2%	7 2%	
TOTAL.....	13 100%	387 100%	400 100%	

Q15A3: Rate Importance of Conserving Prairies  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important..	5 19%	22 6%	27 7%	
2 rating....	3 12%	39 10%	42 11%	
3 rating....	6 23%	101 27%	107 27%	
4 rating....	4 15%	78 21%	82 21%	
5 Very				
important..	8 31%	127 34%	135 34%	
Don't know..	0 0%	7 2%	7 2%	
TOTAL.....	26 100%	374 100%	400 100%	

Q15A3: Rate Importance of Conserving Prairies  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important..	2 3%	25 7%	27 7%	
2 rating....	2 3%	40 12%	42 11%	
3 rating....	9 14%	98 29%	107 27%	
4 rating....	16 24%	66 20%	82 21%	
5 Very				
important..	36 55%	99 30%	135 34%	
Don't know..	1 2%	6 2%	7 2%	
TOTAL.....	66 100%	334 100%	400 100%	

Q15A3: Rate Importance of Conserving Prairies  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important..	6 17%	21 6%	27 7%	
2 rating....	0 0%	42 12%	42 11%	
3 rating....	6 17%	101 28%	107 27%	
4 rating....	5 14%	77 21%	82 21%	
5 Very				
important..	18 51%	117 32%	135 34%	
Don't know..	0 0%	7 2%	7 2%	
TOTAL.....	35 100%	365 100%	400 100%	

Q15A3: Rate Importance of Conserving Prairies  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important..	4 6%	23 7%	27	7%
2 rating....	7 11%	35 10%	42	11%
3 rating....	16 24%	91 27%	107	27%
4 rating....	14 21%	68 20%	82	21%
5 Very				
important..	25 38%	110 33%	135	34%
Don't know..	0 0%	7 2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	66 100%	334 100%	400	100%

Q15A3: Rate Importance of Conserving Prairies  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important..	13 9%	14 6%	27	7%
2 rating....	8 5%	34 14%	42	11%
3 rating....	33 22%	74 30%	107	27%
4 rating....	33 22%	49 20%	82	21%
5 Very				
important..	63 42%	72 29%	135	34%
Don't know..	1 1%	6 2%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	151 100%	249 100%	400	100%

Q15A3: Rate Importance of Conserving Prairies  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
IMPORTANCE:										
1 Not at all										
important..	9	9%	4	4%	5	5%	9	9%	27	7%
2 rating....	8	8%	7	7%	15	15%	12	12%	42	11%
3 rating....	29	29%	22	22%	29	29%	27	27%	107	27%
4 rating....	13	13%	32	32%	14	14%	23	23%	82	21%
5 Very										
important..	36	36%	35	35%	35	35%	29	29%	135	34%
Don't know..	5	5%	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q15A3: Rate Importance of Conserving Prairies  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	18	10%	9	4%	27	7%
2 rating....	21	11%	21	10%	42	11%
3 rating....	50	27%	57	26%	107	27%
4 rating....	39	21%	43	20%	82	21%
5 Very						
important..	51	28%	84	39%	135	34%
Don't know..	5	3%	2	1%	7	2%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q15A3: Rate Importance of Conserving Prairies  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all important...	5 4%	0 0%	2 6%	18 18%	2 8%	27 7%
2 rating....	5 4%	6 6%	8 24%	21 21%	2 8%	42 11%
3 rating....	23 17%	37 34%	10 29%	28 28%	9 36%	107 27%
4 rating....	32 24%	30 28%	5 15%	8 8%	7 28%	82 21%
5 Very important...	67 50%	34 31%	9 26%	20 20%	5 20%	135 34%
Don't know...	1 1%	1 1%	0 0%	5 5%	0 0%	7 2%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q15A4: Rate Importance of Conserving Springs  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	0	0%	10	3%	10	3%
2 rating....	0	0%	8	2%	8	2%
3 rating....	1	8%	42	11%	43	11%
4 rating....	2	15%	67	17%	69	17%
5 Very						
important..	10	77%	256	66%	266	67%
Don't know..	0	0%	4	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q15A4: Rate Importance of Conserving Springs  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	3	12%	7	2%	10	3%
2 rating....	1	4%	7	2%	8	2%
3 rating....	1	4%	42	11%	43	11%
4 rating....	2	8%	67	18%	69	17%
5 Very						
important..	19	73%	247	66%	266	67%
Don't know..	0	0%	4	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q15A4: Rate Importance of Conserving Springs  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important...	1 2%	9 3%	10 3%	
2 rating....	1 2%	7 2%	8 2%	
3 rating....	1 2%	42 13%	43 11%	
4 rating....	9 14%	60 18%	69 17%	
5 Very				
important...	53 80%	213 64%	266 67%	
Don't know...	1 2%	3 1%	4 1%	
TOTAL.....	66 100%	334 100%	400 100%	

Q15A4: Rate Importance of Conserving Springs  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important...	3 9%	7 2%	10 3%	
2 rating....	1 3%	7 2%	8 2%	
3 rating....	2 6%	41 11%	43 11%	
4 rating....	5 14%	64 18%	69 17%	
5 Very				
important...	24 69%	242 66%	266 67%	
Don't know...	0 0%	4 1%	4 1%	
TOTAL.....	35 100%	365 100%	400 100%	

Q15A4: Rate Importance of Conserving Springs  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	2	3%	8	2%	10	3%
2 rating....	1	2%	7	2%	8	2%
3 rating....	6	9%	37	11%	43	11%
4 rating....	15	23%	54	16%	69	17%
5 Very						
important...	42	64%	224	67%	266	67%
Don't know...	0	0%	4	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q15A4: Rate Importance of Conserving Springs  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	5	3%	5	2%	10	3%
2 rating....	4	3%	4	2%	8	2%
3 rating....	9	6%	34	14%	43	11%
4 rating....	27	18%	42	17%	69	17%
5 Very						
important...	105	70%	161	65%	266	67%
Don't know...	1	1%	3	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q15A4: Rate Importance of Conserving Springs  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
IMPORTANCE:										
1 Not at all										
important..	3	3%	3	3%	2	2%	2	2%	10	3%
2 rating....	3	3%	0	0%	2	2%	3	3%	8	2%
3 rating....	9	9%	4	4%	9	9%	21	21%	43	11%
4 rating....	12	12%	15	15%	22	22%	20	20%	69	17%
5 Very										
important..	70	70%	78	78%	64	64%	54	54%	266	67%
Don't know..	3	3%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q15A4: Rate Importance of Conserving Springs  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	7	4%	3	1%	10	3%
2 rating....	5	3%	3	1%	8	2%
3 rating....	24	13%	19	9%	43	11%
4 rating....	38	21%	31	14%	69	17%
5 Very						
important..	108	59%	158	73%	266	67%
Don't know..	2	1%	2	1%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q15A4: Rate Importance of Conserving Springs  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all important...	3 2%	0 0%	1 3%	6 6%	0 0%	10 3%
2 rating....	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	7 7%	0 0%	8 2%
3 rating....	8 6%	9 8%	6 18%	17 17%	3 12%	43 11%
4 rating....	17 13%	29 27%	5 15%	15 15%	3 12%	69 17%
5 Very important...	104 78%	70 65%	21 62%	52 52%	19 76%	266 67%
Don't know...	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	3 3%	0 0%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q15A5: Rate Importance of Conserving Wetlands  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	1	8%	16	4%	17	4%
2 rating....	0	0%	30	8%	30	8%
3 rating....	1	8%	72	19%	73	18%
4 rating....	2	15%	92	24%	94	24%
5 Very						
important...	9	69%	169	44%	178	45%
Don't know..	0	0%	8	2%	8	2%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q15A5: Rate Importance of Conserving Wetlands  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	5	19%	12	3%	17	4%
2 rating....	1	4%	29	8%	30	8%
3 rating....	4	15%	69	18%	73	18%
4 rating....	5	19%	89	24%	94	24%
5 Very						
important...	11	42%	167	45%	178	45%
Don't know..	0	0%	8	2%	8	2%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q15A5: Rate Importance of Conserving Wetlands  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	3	5%	14	4%	17	4%
2 rating....	1	2%	29	9%	30	8%
3 rating....	2	3%	71	21%	73	18%
4 rating....	13	20%	81	24%	94	24%
5 Very						
important...	46	70%	132	40%	178	45%
Don't know...	1	2%	7	2%	8	2%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q15A5: Rate Importance of Conserving Wetlands  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	4	11%	13	4%	17	4%
2 rating....	2	6%	28	8%	30	8%
3 rating....	2	6%	71	19%	73	18%
4 rating....	6	17%	88	24%	94	24%
5 Very						
important...	21	60%	157	43%	178	45%
Don't know...	0	0%	8	2%	8	2%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q15A5: Rate Importance of Conserving Wetlands  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important...	6 9%	11 3%	17	4%
2 rating....	4 6%	26 8%	30	8%
3 rating....	12 18%	61 18%	73	18%
4 rating....	18 27%	76 23%	94	24%
5 Very				
important...	26 39%	152 46%	178	45%
Don't know...	0 0%	8 2%	8	2%
TOTAL.....	66 100%	334 100%	400	100%

Q15A5: Rate Importance of Conserving Wetlands  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important...	11 7%	6 2%	17	4%
2 rating....	7 5%	23 9%	30	8%
3 rating....	19 13%	54 22%	73	18%
4 rating....	33 22%	61 24%	94	24%
5 Very				
important...	80 53%	98 39%	178	45%
Don't know...	1 1%	7 3%	8	2%
TOTAL.....	151 100%	249 100%	400	100%

Q15A5: Rate Importance of Conserving Wetlands  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
IMPORTANCE:										
1 Not at all										
important..	6	6%	4	4%	3	3%	4	4%	17	4%
2 rating....	10	10%	2	2%	7	7%	11	11%	30	8%
3 rating....	19	19%	16	16%	16	16%	22	22%	73	18%
4 rating....	19	19%	17	17%	31	31%	27	27%	94	24%
5 Very										
important..	42	42%	59	59%	41	41%	36	36%	178	45%
Don't know..	4	4%	2	2%	2	2%	0	0%	8	2%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q15A5: Rate Importance of Conserving Wetlands  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	13	7%	4	2%	17	4%
2 rating....	14	8%	16	7%	30	8%
3 rating....	36	20%	37	17%	73	18%
4 rating....	47	26%	47	22%	94	24%
5 Very						
important..	71	39%	107	50%	178	45%
Don't know..	3	2%	5	2%	8	2%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q15A5: Rate Importance of Conserving Wetlands  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all important...	4 3%	0 0%	3 9%	8 8%	2 8%	17 4%
2 rating....	3 2%	3 3%	4 12%	20 20%	0 0%	30 8%
3 rating....	7 5%	25 23%	7 21%	26 26%	8 32%	73 18%
4 rating....	29 22%	34 31%	8 24%	18 18%	5 20%	94 24%
5 Very important...	88 66%	46 43%	11 32%	23 23%	10 40%	178 45%
Don't know...	2 2%	0 0%	1 3%	5 5%	0 0%	8 2%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q15A6: Rate Importance of Conserving Wildlife Habitat  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	0	0%	20	5%	20	5%
2 rating....	1	8%	19	5%	20	5%
3 rating....	1	8%	58	15%	59	15%
4 rating....	3	23%	62	16%	65	16%
5 Very						
important..	8	62%	225	58%	233	58%
Don't know..	0	0%	3	1%	3	1%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q15A6: Rate Importance of Conserving Wildlife Habitat  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important..	6	23%	14	4%	20	5%
2 rating....	1	4%	19	5%	20	5%
3 rating....	1	4%	58	16%	59	15%
4 rating....	5	19%	60	16%	65	16%
5 Very						
important..	13	50%	220	59%	233	58%
Don't know..	0	0%	3	1%	3	1%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q15A6: Rate Importance of Conserving Wildlife Habitat  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	4	6%	16	5%	20	5%
2 rating....	0	0%	20	6%	20	5%
3 rating....	3	5%	56	17%	59	15%
4 rating....	10	15%	55	16%	65	16%
5 Very						
important...	49	74%	184	55%	233	58%
Don't know...	0	0%	3	1%	3	1%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q15A6: Rate Importance of Conserving Wildlife Habitat  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	6	17%	14	4%	20	5%
2 rating....	0	0%	20	5%	20	5%
3 rating....	2	6%	57	16%	59	15%
4 rating....	3	9%	62	17%	65	16%
5 Very						
important...	24	69%	209	57%	233	58%
Don't know...	0	0%	3	1%	3	1%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q15A6: Rate Importance of Conserving Wildlife Habitat  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important...	3 5%	17 5%	20	5%
2 rating....	5 8%	15 4%	20	5%
3 rating....	8 12%	51 15%	59	15%
4 rating....	10 15%	55 16%	65	16%
5 Very				
important...	40 61%	193 58%	233	58%
Don't know...	0 0%	3 1%	3	1%
TOTAL.....	66 100%	334 100%	400	100%

Q15A6: Rate Importance of Conserving Wildlife Habitat  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important...	12 8%	8 3%	20	5%
2 rating....	5 3%	15 6%	20	5%
3 rating....	14 9%	45 18%	59	15%
4 rating....	26 17%	39 16%	65	16%
5 Very				
important...	94 62%	139 56%	233	58%
Don't know...	0 0%	3 1%	3	1%
TOTAL.....	151 100%	249 100%	400	100%

Q15A6: Rate Importance of Conserving Wildlife Habitat  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
IMPORTANCE:										
1 Not at all										
important...	6	6%	6	6%	4	4%	4	4%	20	5%
2 rating....	3	3%	2	2%	7	7%	8	8%	20	5%
3 rating....	15	15%	15	15%	13	13%	16	16%	59	15%
4 rating....	12	12%	18	18%	18	18%	17	17%	65	16%
5 Very										
important...	62	62%	59	59%	57	57%	55	55%	233	58%
Don't know..	2	2%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	3	1%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q15A6: Rate Importance of Conserving Wildlife Habitat  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	13	7%	7	3%	20	5%
2 rating....	12	7%	8	4%	20	5%
3 rating....	27	15%	32	15%	59	15%
4 rating....	30	16%	35	16%	65	16%
5 Very						
important...	101	55%	132	61%	233	58%
Don't know..	1	1%	2	1%	3	1%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q15A6: Rate Importance of Conserving Wildlife Habitat  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all important...	3 2%	0 0%	1 3%	14 14%	2 8%	20 5%
2 rating....	1 1%	0 0%	7 21%	12 12%	0 0%	20 5%
3 rating....	7 5%	16 15%	5 15%	23 23%	8 32%	59 15%
4 rating....	19 14%	26 24%	4 12%	12 12%	4 16%	65 16%
5 Very important...	103 77%	66 61%	17 50%	36 36%	11 44%	233 58%
Don't know...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	3 3%	0 0%	3 1%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q15A7: Rate Importance of Creating Green Corridors  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important..	1 8%	26 7%	27	7%
2 rating....	0 0%	24 6%	24	6%
3 rating....	1 8%	83 21%	84	21%
4 rating....	5 38%	91 24%	96	24%
5 Very				
important..	6 46%	135 35%	141	35%
Don't know..	0 0%	28 7%	28	7%
TOTAL.....	13 100%	387 100%	400	100%

Q15A7: Rate Importance of Creating Green Corridors  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important..	5 19%	22 6%	27	7%
2 rating....	1 4%	23 6%	24	6%
3 rating....	4 15%	80 21%	84	21%
4 rating....	9 35%	87 23%	96	24%
5 Very				
important..	5 19%	136 36%	141	35%
Don't know..	2 8%	26 7%	28	7%
TOTAL.....	26 100%	374 100%	400	100%

Q15A7: Rate Importance of Creating Green Corridors  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	1	2%	26	8%	27	7%
2 rating....	3	5%	21	6%	24	6%
3 rating....	10	15%	74	22%	84	21%
4 rating....	17	26%	79	24%	96	24%
5 Very						
important...	33	50%	108	32%	141	35%
Don't know...	2	3%	26	8%	28	7%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q15A7: Rate Importance of Creating Green Corridors  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	5	14%	22	6%	27	7%
2 rating....	1	3%	23	6%	24	6%
3 rating....	1	3%	83	23%	84	21%
4 rating....	9	26%	87	24%	96	24%
5 Very						
important...	19	54%	122	33%	141	35%
Don't know...	0	0%	28	8%	28	7%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q15A7: Rate Importance of Creating Green Corridors  
By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important..	5 8%	22 7%	27	7%
2 rating....	6 9%	18 5%	24	6%
3 rating....	18 27%	66 20%	84	21%
4 rating....	16 24%	80 24%	96	24%
5 Very				
important..	19 29%	122 37%	141	35%
Don't know..	2 3%	26 8%	28	7%
TOTAL.....	66 100%	334 100%	400	100%

Q15A7: Rate Importance of Creating Green Corridors  
By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization		TOTAL	
	Member	Not member		
IMPORTANCE:				
1 Not at all				
important..	12 8%	15 6%	27	7%
2 rating....	7 5%	17 7%	24	6%
3 rating....	28 19%	56 22%	84	21%
4 rating....	44 29%	52 21%	96	24%
5 Very				
important..	54 36%	87 35%	141	35%
Don't know..	6 4%	22 9%	28	7%
TOTAL.....	151 100%	249 100%	400	100%

Q15A7: Rate Importance of Creating Green Corridors  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
IMPORTANCE:										
1 Not at all										
important...	9	9%	2	2%	7	7%	9	9%	27	7%
2 rating....	10	10%	3	3%	9	9%	2	2%	24	6%
3 rating....	17	17%	17	17%	19	19%	31	31%	84	21%
4 rating....	19	19%	25	25%	28	28%	24	24%	96	24%
5 Very										
important...	39	39%	44	44%	31	31%	27	27%	141	35%
Don't know..	6	6%	9	9%	6	6%	7	7%	28	7%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q15A7: Rate Importance of Creating Green Corridors  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all						
important...	17	9%	10	5%	27	7%
2 rating....	14	8%	10	5%	24	6%
3 rating....	37	20%	47	22%	84	21%
4 rating....	51	28%	45	21%	96	24%
5 Very						
important...	53	29%	88	41%	141	35%
Don't know..	12	7%	16	7%	28	7%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q15A7: Rate Importance of Creating Green Corridors  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
IMPORTANCE:						
1 Not at all important...	3 2%	0 0%	3 9%	19 19%	2 8%	27 7%
2 rating....	3 2%	4 4%	2 6%	15 15%	0 0%	24 6%
3 rating....	18 14%	30 28%	9 26%	24 24%	3 12%	84 21%
4 rating....	34 26%	32 30%	6 18%	19 19%	5 20%	96 24%
5 Very important...	73 55%	33 31%	7 21%	19 19%	9 36%	141 35%
Don't know...	2 2%	9 8%	7 21%	4 4%	6 24%	28 7%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100

Q16: Opinion of Fayetteville Natural Heritage Association  
By Whether Member of League of Women Voters

	League of Women Voters				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
OPINION:						
Very favorable...	7	54%	90	23%	97	24%
Somewhat favorable...	4	31%	91	24%	95	24%
Mixed.....	0	0%	17	4%	17	4%
Somewhat unfavorable	0	0%	14	4%	14	4%
Very unfavorable	0	0%	12	3%	12	3%
Don't know...	2	15%	163	42%	165	41%
TOTAL.....	13	100%	387	100%	400	100%

Q16: Opinion of Fayetteville Natural Heritage Association  
By Whether Member of Chamber of Commerce

	Chamber of Commerce				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
OPINION:						
Very favorable...	5	19%	92	25%	97	24%
Somewhat favorable...	8	31%	87	23%	95	24%
Mixed.....	0	0%	17	5%	17	4%
Somewhat unfavorable	2	8%	12	3%	14	4%
Very unfavorable	1	4%	11	3%	12	3%
Don't know...	10	38%	155	41%	165	41%
TOTAL.....	26	100%	374	100%	400	100%

Q16: Opinion of Fayetteville Natural Heritage Association  
By Whether Member of Conservation Group

	Conservation Group				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
OPINION:						
Very favorable...	26	39%	71	21%	97	24%
Somewhat favorable...	16	24%	79	24%	95	24%
Mixed.....	3	5%	14	4%	17	4%
Somewhat unfavorable	2	3%	12	4%	14	4%
Very unfavorable	1	2%	11	3%	12	3%
Don't know...	18	27%	147	44%	165	41%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q16: Opinion of Fayetteville Natural Heritage Association  
By Whether Member of Recreation Organization

	Outdoor Recreation Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
OPINION:						
Very favorable...	18	51%	79	22%	97	24%
Somewhat favorable...	3	9%	92	25%	95	24%
Mixed.....	2	6%	15	4%	17	4%
Somewhat unfavorable	1	3%	13	4%	14	4%
Very unfavorable	2	6%	10	3%	12	3%
Don't know...	9	26%	156	43%	165	41%
TOTAL.....	35	100%	365	100%	400	100%

Q16: Opinion of Fayetteville Natural Heritage Association  
 By Whether Member of Civic or Service Organization

	Civic or Service Club				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
OPINION:						
Very favorable...	14	21%	83	25%	97	24%
Somewhat favorable...	19	29%	76	23%	95	24%
Mixed.....	5	8%	12	4%	17	4%
Somewhat unfavorable	3	5%	11	3%	14	4%
Very unfavorable	0	0%	12	4%	12	3%
Don't know...	25	38%	140	42%	165	41%
TOTAL.....	66	100%	334	100%	400	100%

Q16: Opinion of Fayetteville Natural Heritage Association  
 By Whether Member of at Least One Organization

	Member of at Least One Organization				TOTAL	
	Member		Not member			
OPINION:						
Very favorable...	44	29%	53	21%	97	24%
Somewhat favorable...	36	24%	59	24%	95	24%
Mixed.....	8	5%	9	4%	17	4%
Somewhat unfavorable	7	5%	7	3%	14	4%
Very unfavorable	3	2%	9	4%	12	3%
Don't know...	53	35%	112	45%	165	41%
TOTAL.....	151	100%	249	100%	400	100%

Q16: Opinion of Fayetteville Natural Heritage Association  
By Respondent's Ward

	Ward								TOTAL	
	One		Two		Three		Four			
OPINION:										
Very favorable...	18	18%	33	33%	24	24%	22	22%	97	24%
Somewhat favorable...	29	29%	23	23%	23	23%	20	20%	95	24%
Mixed.....	4	4%	4	4%	3	3%	6	6%	17	4%
Somewhat unfavorable	5	5%	1	1%	2	2%	6	6%	14	4%
Very unfavorable	2	2%	3	3%	3	3%	4	4%	12	3%
Don't know...	42	42%	36	36%	45	45%	42	42%	165	41%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100%	400	100%

Q16: Opinion of Fayetteville Natural Heritage Association  
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
OPINION:						
Very favorable...	44	24%	53	25%	97	24%
Somewhat favorable...	44	24%	51	24%	95	24%
Mixed.....	9	5%	8	4%	17	4%
Somewhat unfavorable	5	3%	9	4%	14	4%
Very unfavorable	9	5%	3	1%	12	3%
Don't know...	73	40%	92	43%	165	41%
TOTAL.....	184	100%	216	100%	400	100%

Q16: Opinion of Fayetteville Natural Heritage Association  
By Vote on Property Tax Increase

	Vote on Property Tax Increase					TOTAL
	Def for	Prob for	Prob against	Def against	Undecided	
OPINION:						
Very favorable...	49 37%	30 28%	3 9%	11 11%	4 16%	97 24%
Somewhat favorable...	29 22%	36 33%	9 26%	13 13%	8 32%	95 24%
Mixed.....	4 3%	5 5%	1 3%	6 6%	1 4%	17 4%
Somewhat unfavorable	1 1%	1 1%	2 6%	10 10%	0 0%	14 4%
Very unfavorable	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	12 12%	0 0%	12 3%
Don't know...	50 38%	36 33%	19 56%	48 48%	12 48%	165 41%
TOTAL.....	133 100	108 100	34 100	100 100	25 100	400 100